

INCORPORATED BY ROYAL CHARTER, A.D. 1720

FIRE. LIFE. SEA.

ACCIDENTS.

BURGLARY.

EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY.

Apply for further information to

W. N. WHYMPER, Secretary.

HEAD OFFICE: ROYAL EXCHANGE, LONDON, E.C.
WEST END BRANCH: 29, Pall Mall, S.W.THE LAW GUARANTEE AND TRUST
SOCIETY, LIMITED.FULLY SUBSCRIBED CAPITAL - £2,000,000. PAID UP - £200,000.
RESERVE FUND - £180,000FIDELITY GUARANTEES OF ALL KINDS. ADMINISTRATION AND LUNACY BONDS.
MORTGAGE, DEBENTURE, LICENSE, AND CONTINGENCY INSURANCE.
TRUSTEESHIPS FOR DEBENTURE-HOLDERS, &c. SINKING FUND AND LEASEHOLD
REDEMPTION POLICIES. FORGED TRANSFERS GUARANTEE.

HEAD OFFICE: 49, Chancery-lane, W.C. | CITY OFFICE: 56, Moorgate-street, E.C.

X IMPORTANT TO SOLICITORS X

In Drawing LEASES or MORTGAGES of
LICENSED PROPERTYTo see that the Insurance Covenants include a policy covering the risk of
LOSS OR FORFEITURE OF THE LICENSE.Suitable clauses, settled by Counsel, can be obtained on application to
THE LICENSES INSURANCE CORPORATION AND
GUARANTEE FUND, LIMITED,

24, MOORGATE STREET, LONDON, E.C.

Mortgages Guaranteed on Licensed Properties promptly, without
special valuation and at low rates.LEGAL AND GENERAL LIFE ASSURANCE
SOCIETY.

ESTABLISHED 1836.

FUNDS	-	-	-	-	£4,100,000
INCOME	-	-	-	-	£500,000
YEARLY BUSINESS (1902) nearly	-	-	-	-	£2,000,000
BUSINESS IN FORCE	-	-	-	-	£15,232,000

THE PERFECTED SYSTEM of Life Assurance is peculiar to this Society
and embraces every modern advantage.

PERFECTED MAXIMUM POLICIES.

WITHOUT PROFITS.

The Rates for these Whole Life Policies are very moderate.

Age	Premium	Age	Premium	Age	Premium
20	£1 7 8 %	30	£1 16 %	40	£2 10 %

£1,000 POLICY WITH BONUSES

According to last results.

Valuation at 2½ p.c. :- Hm. Table of Mortality.

Duration	10 yrs.	20 yrs.	30 yrs.	40 yrs.
Amount of Policy	£1,199	£1,438	£1,724	£2,067

Full information on application to

THE MANAGER, 10, FLEET STREET, LONDON.

VOL. XLVII., No. 43.

The Solicitors' Journal and Reporter.

LONDON, AUGUST 22, 1903.

* The Editor cannot undertake to return rejected contributions, and
copies should be kept of all articles sent by writers who are not on
the regular staff of the JOURNAL.All letters intended for publication in the SOLICITORS' JOURNAL must
be authenticated by the name of the writer.

Contents.

CURRENT TOPICS	745	LEGAL NEWS	752
COLLATERAL STIPULATIONS IN MORT- GAGES	747	WINDING-UP NOTICES	752
REVIEWS	748	CREDITORS' NOTICES	753
LAW SOCIETIES	751	BANKRUPTCY NOTICES	754

Cases Reported this Week.

In the Solicitors' Journal.

Hodson v. Deans and Others	750
Islington Corporation v. London School Board	749
Norfolk Estuary Co. v. Flanders	749
The Absolute Life Assurance Co. (Lim.), Re	749
Wason v. Royal British Bank and Others	748

In the Weekly Reporter.

Attorney-General v. Holden	685
Debtor, A, In re	675
Kaufman v. Gerson and Another	683
"Masar," The	667
Freist and Wife v. Last	678
Riley's (Limited), In re	681
Secretary of State for India v. Scoble	675

Current Topics.

BEFORE Mr. Justice WALTON, sitting on Wednesday last as Vacation Judge, a list of 17 motions, one petition, and 14 applications to make absolute decrees nisi in divorce causes was disposed of. The court sat in King's Bench Court 9. As it was the first of the Vacation Sittings not so much inconvenience was caused by the smallness of the court as will probably be the case should the sittings be continued there when the list increases in length by adjourned motions being added to it, and when the attendance of persons interested necessarily becomes larger.

A new set of County Court Rules, to be known as "The County Court Rules, 1903," has been issued. They consolidate and amend the existing rules, which are annulled. They will come into force on the 1st of January, 1904, and will apply, so far as may be practicable, to all proceedings taken in all actions and matters pending on that day. The official copy of the rules has been furnished with full tables shewing the correspondence between the new rules and forms and those which they supplant, and indications are appended to each rule which shew what changes have been made.

As a matter of consolidation the new rules will prove of great convenience. The existing rules are in the main those of 1889, but there have been numerous sets of rules published since, and it was time for the whole set of regulations to be recast. The changes appear to be chiefly in the direction of assimilating the county court procedure to that of the High Court. Thus order 3 (Parties), r. 9, reproduces R. S. C., ord. 16, r. 9a, which enables the court to approve a compromise in a trust matter in the absence of some of the parties interested; order 23 (Judgments and Orders) reproduces several of the rules of R. S. C., ord. 51, as to sales under the order of the court; order 24 (Accounts and Inquiries) has been largely supplemented by the inclusion of rules from R. S. C., ord. 55; and changes have been made in order 38 (Equity matters) which have the effect of requiring petitions to follow the forms adopted in the High Court.

ATTENTION may be directed to some important changes in the rules relating to costs (order 53). Rule 22, which repeats the existing prohibition against allowing unnecessary costs as against an opposite party, has appended to it a new proviso that "in particular, where a party employs a solicitor who does not reside or carry on business in or near the district of the court, no costs for locomotion or maintenance of such solicitor shall be allowed unless the court is satisfied that there was reasonable cause for employing such solicitor." Rule 25 introduces the rule of *London Scottish Benefit Society v. Chorley* (13 Q. B. D. 872) for the case where a solicitor acts on his own behalf and is allowed costs. He is to be entitled on taxation to the same costs as if he had employed a solicitor, except in respect of items which the fact of his acting directly renders unnecessary. And rule 26 enacts that where costs of counsel are not allowed, the solicitor's costs may be allowed on the scale which would have been applicable had the solicitor appeared for his client without counsel. An express order of the judge is to be required for payment of costs out of an estate (rule 30). This and the next half-dozen rules as to costs are taken from R. S. C., ord. 65.

"WHEN JOINT STOCK companies were established, the great object was that the shares should be capable of being easily transferred." So said the late Lord BLACKBURN in *Re Bahia and San Francisco Railway Co.* (L. R. 3 Q. B., p. 595), and the learned judge proceeded to point out how the Legislature had endeavoured to attain this object by the requirements as to registration in the Companies Act, 1862. A considerable inroad would, however, have been made upon this principle had the decision of Lord ALVERSTONE, C.J., in *Lord Mayor of Sheffield v. Barclay* (51 W. R. 204), been affirmed by the Court of Appeal, and had an innocent purchaser of shares who presents a forged transfer for registration been held liable to the company for any loss caused by the registration. But the Court of Appeal (*Times*, 12th inst.) have avoided this result by taking a distinction which does not seem to have been adverted to in the careful judgment of the Lord Chief Justice. Sheffield Corporation stock to the value of £8,500, which in 1893 was standing in the names of two trustees, was in that year transferred to Messrs. BARCLAY & Co., by whom it was subsequently re-transferred. The former transfer was, as regards one of the trustees, a forgery, and on the forgery being discovered in 1901, the corporation had to restore the stock to the trust. Thereupon they claimed to be indemnified by Messrs. BARCLAY & Co., and Lord ALVERSTONE allowed the claim. He applied the principle stated in *Toplis v. Grane* (5 Bing. N. C. 636) in the following words: "Where an act has been done by the plaintiff under the express direction of the defendant, which occasions an injury to the rights of third persons, yet if such an act is not illegal in itself, but is done honestly and *bona fide* in compliance with the defendant's directions, he shall be bound to indemnify the plaintiff against the consequences thereof."

AT FIRST sight this principle fits the case neatly enough. Upon the registration of a transfer of shares or stock, it is the transferee at whose instigation the company alters the register, and if, in so doing, the rights of the true owner are prejudiced, it is not unnatural to allow the company to shift the liability to the transferee. This was done in the present case, when Lord ALVERSTONE allowed the claim of the corporation to indemnity as against Messrs. BARCLAY & Co. The application of the principle overlooks, however, the fact that the corporation or company is not without duties in regard to the register. "It appears to me," said LINDLEY, J., in *Simm v. Anglo-American Telegraph Co.* (5 Q. B. D., p. 195), "that a duty is thrown upon the company to look to their own register, which involves, of course, the looking after the transfer of stock or shares standing in the names of persons on the register; and that duty the company owe to those who come with transfers, and I do not see any corresponding or conflicting duty on the part of the person who brings the transfer, except, of course, that of bringing what he believes to be an honest document." In the view of the Court of Appeal this circumstance of there being a duty on the company in regard to the

register makes all the difference when it is a question of claiming indemnity against an innocent transferee. "It seems to me," said VAUGHAN WILLIAMS, L.J., "perfectly clear that a distinction must be drawn between cases where a request is made to someone who has no duty in the matter independently of the request, and a case where the request is made to one who has a duty in the matter independently of the request." In the former case the person to whom the request is made, and who acts on it, is moved solely by the request, and if he is involved in liability, he is entitled to be indemnified. In the latter case it is the request, indeed, which sets him in motion, but the substantial reason of his acting is that he has a duty to perform, and he cannot look for indemnity. When a transfer is presented to a company for registration the entry in the register is made in pursuance of a statutory duty, and the company are not entitled to rely on the principle enunciated in *Toplis v. Grane* (*supra*). Moreover, they have means of verifying the authenticity of the transfer which the transferee does not possess. Hence the transferee comes under no liability, and when once the transfer has been registered, and a new certificate issued, he is safe.

THE DECISION of the Court of Appeal in *McConnell v. Wright* (51 W. R. 651), illustrates the liabilities in which directors may involve themselves, although no attempt is made to establish fraud against them. The defendant, Mr. WHITTAKER WRIGHT, was a director both of the London and Globe Finance Corporation and of the Standard Exploration Co., and the action was brought by a shareholder in the latter company in respect of misstatements which were alleged to be contained in the prospectus of this company, and of the omission of material contracts. Among the alleged misstatements was one that the company had acquired certain shares in the London and Globe Corporation. It appeared from the evidence that these shares had not been in fact acquired by the Standard Exploration Co. at the date of the issue of the prospectus, though they were acquired shortly after. The position appears to have been that Mr. WRIGHT intended that they should be acquired, and this intention he subsequently carried out, although the London and Globe Corporation was, when the prospectus was issued, in no way bound. According to the judgment of the Master of the Rolls the transaction resulted in a very large profit to the Standard Co. Under these circumstances the defendant had brought himself within the provisions of the Directors' Liability Act, 1890. Under that Act a director is liable to pay to shareholders compensation for any damage they have sustained by reason of an untrue statement in a prospectus, unless he had reasonable ground to believe that the statement was true. The Court of Appeal held that Mr. WRIGHT had no ground for believing in the actual acquisition of the London and Globe shares by the Standard Co., although possibly in his own mind he looked upon them as being as good as acquired. But it was naturally argued on his behalf that under the circumstances the misstatement had caused no damage to the plaintiff. This, however, the Court of Appeal declined to admit. The Standard Co. had been wound up and its shares had become valueless, and though this might not be sufficient to connect the plaintiff's loss with the prospectus, yet, on the facts as existing at the time when the prospectus was issued, he had not got what he paid for. His subscription was intended to cover property which in fact had not been acquired by the company, and which, as matters then stood, there was a substantial risk of its not acquiring. The risk, apparently, was the measure in part of the plaintiff's loss, although under this head there was in the result no actual loss to the company.

IN THE CASE of *Giblan v. Labourers' Union* (*Times*, 12th inst.), in which judgment was given by the Court of Appeal last week, the decision of WATSON, J., at the Cardiff Assizes was affirmed so far as regards liability for interference with the plaintiff's freedom of action, but was reversed so far as regards the liability of the defendant union for the acts of their secretaries. The case is an application of the doctrine established by the House of Lords in *Quinn v. Leatham* (1901, A. C. 495). The decisions in the *Mogul* case (40 W. R. 387;

Aug. 1892, A. C. had seem breach of ment or v even thou the direct defendant's breach of right, and damage r as sanction yet, for interference That cas having r restricted against t of enteri Lord M wrongfu least the a very against to injur actiona with th his own ROMER, is not n case wit in orde a combi of," and position interfe Giblan' plaintiff interfe debt. and for Court

We Bench grant of the follow rogato applic based lost g fiction those put a of the and th that i jury a they by th irrole grant ever

The cabm pre-pa suppl reach a han Justic know the "Oh comm perce

cabman by the automobile and the chauffeur; but is the country prepared to part with a class of men whose peculiar turn of humour supplies us with half the good stories that appear? The following reaches us from Mr. Algernon Ashton. An eminent lawyer stepped into a hansom, and directed the cabman to drive him to the Royal Courts of Justice. "The Royal Courts of Justice?" the cabman said. "I don't know them." "What!" the great man exclaimed. "You don't know the Royal Courts of Justice?" "No." "What! not the Law Courts?" "Oh, the Law Courts! I know the Law Courts." And Mr. Ashton comments: "Whether the legal luminary was quick-witted enough to perceive the cabman's withering irony is not recorded."

With respect to this Lord MACNAGHTEN in *Bradley v. Carritt* used the following words: "That seems to me to be a very far-reaching decision. It reduces the rule that a mortgage cannot be made irredeemable to a dead letter. You have only to tack on some stipulation, such as men of business might well agree to if there were no mortgage, and the thing is done. In *Noakes v. Rice* the mortgagees suffered, it would seem, merely because his legal advisers had the misfortune to know a little law, and had not learned the secret of *Santley v. Wilde*. They thought they could make the covenant on which they meant to rely run with the land. If they had not puzzled over a matter which is often one of some difficulty, if they had only inserted a covenant to the effect that the mortgagor and his assigns should get their beer from the mortgagee, and from no one else, so long as the lease lasted, and if the proviso for redemption had been so expressed as to cover that obligation, the mortgage, according to *Santley v. Wilde*, would have been irredeemable, and the covenant open to no objection." Similarly Lord DAVEY, referring to the division of the security

into two parts, said: "That seems to me to be a fallacious and wrong way of looking at the transaction. There was only one transaction which was a mortgage transaction, and the only consideration for the grant of two-thirds of the profits was the loan of the money. It appears to me that the claim to retain the security as a security for the share of profits was in fact imposing a fetter on the redemption on payment of principal, interest, and costs." It should be observed that in *Bradley v. Carritt* Lord LINDLEY declined to admit that *Santley v. Wilde* was wrongly decided. "*Santley v. Wilde*," he said, "was a difficult case, and it may have been wrongly decided, but I do not think it was." But, with deference, it seems safe to suggest that the case is distinctly overruled, and that a mortgagee will not be allowed again to retain, after repayment of the loan, any advantage which constitutes a direct fetter on the free use or disposal of the mortgaged property. In the words of RIGBY, L.J., it must come back to him not worse than it was when it was mortgaged.

But *Bradley v. Carritt* has carried the matter further than this. The stipulation there in question formed no direct burden on the mortgaged property. Shares in a tea company had been mortgaged with a stipulation by the mortgagor that the mortgagee should always be employed as broker for the sale of the company's teas. The mortgagor claimed to have this stipulation put an end to on redemption, and the House of Lords by a majority held that he was right. It was sufficient that the existence of the stipulation made it impossible for the mortgagor after redemption to deal as freely with the shares as if the stipulation did not exist. The fact that he would be bound to seek to control the company in order to insure the employment of the *quondam* mortgagee as broker would effectually hinder the free disposition of his shares. There was an indirect, though not a direct fetter upon them. "Can you impose," said Lord MACNAGHTEN, "on the equity of redemption a fetter operating indirectly when you cannot, as it is admitted, impose a fetter which operates directly? My Lords, I should have thought that that question answered itself—you cannot do indirectly that which you must not do directly." "The appellant," said Lord DAVEY, "could not part with or otherwise deal with his shares without losing the influence in the company's counsels which might enable him to secure the performance of the first part of the agreement, or running a serious risk of liability under the second part"—that is, the stipulation to pay the mortgagee his broker's commission if in fact he was not employed by the company. The decision cannot be taken as precluding further discussion of the nature of stipulations which form a clog on the equity of redemption, but it shows that it will be very difficult to evade this equitable doctrine in cases where the stipulation in any way prejudices the full and free enjoyment of the property formerly in mortgage.

Reviews.

Coronation of King Edward VII.

THE COURT OF CLAIMS, CASES, AND EVIDENCE, CONTAINING A FULL REPORT OF ALL THE CASES ARGUED BEFORE THE COURT, WITH THE PETITIONS AND JUDGMENTS; ALSO AN INTRODUCTORY CHAPTER ON THE COURT OF CLAIMS, A CHAPTER ON EVIDENCE AND PROCEDURE, A COMPLETE TABULAR LIST OF ALL THE CLAIMS EXISTING ON THE CORONATION ROLLS, A CHAPTER ON THE LORD GREAT CHAMBERLAIN CASE BEFORE THE COMMITTEE FOR PRIVILEGES OF THE HOUSE OF LORDS, AND OTHER MATTERS. By G. WOODS WOLLASTON, M.A., LL.M., Barrister-at-Law. Harrison & Sons.

We have here in a convenient form full reports of the claims made before the court of claims, in which the several petitions and judgments are set forth. The judgments are almost invariably extremely short, but the arguments are sometimes lengthy. The most generally interesting part of the book is the introduction, which gives the history of the court of claims from the date of the coronation of Richard II. to the present time. It was originally the court of the lord high steward, and after that office merged in the Crown, on the accession of Henry IV., a high steward was appointed for each coronation; but at the coronation of Henry VIII. commissioners were appointed to hear claims, this being, as Mr. Wollaston points out, the prototype of the present court of claims, and commissioners have been appointed before each subsequent coronation.

Compensation.

A COMPENDIUM OF COMPENSATION CASES, CONTAINING A SUMMARY OF ALL CASES REPORTED IN THE "ESTATES GAZETTE" BETWEEN THE 1ST OF JANUARY, 1892, AND THE 31ST OF DECEMBER, 1902. By E. ST. LEGER DANIELS, LL.B., Barrister-at-Law. "Estates Gazette" (Limited).

This work, as the compiler states in the preface, is intended as a collection of cases for the convenience of surveyors and land estate agents. The reports deal, indeed, with the practical side of compensation—the valuation of property—rather than its legal aspect; but though the lawyer may not be the ultimate authority on matters of valuation, yet in dealing with compensation cases it is essential for him to be able to form an opinion as to the outcome in the way of figures, and he will find such a collection of cases as the present very useful. The book includes arbitrations, as well as inquiries before juries, and also occasional cases in the High Court, and sufficient facts are given to make the reports of real use.

Company Formation.

THE LAW AND PRACTICE RELATING TO THE FORMATION OF COMPANIES (LIMITED BY SHARES) UNDER THE COMPANIES ACTS, 1862 TO 1900. WITH AN APPENDIX CONTAINING REGISTRATION FORMS AND PRECEDENTS OF MEMORANDUM AND ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION. By VALE NICOLAS, Barrister-at-Law. Sweet & Maxwell (Limited).

Among the numerous books on company law which are before the profession there is room for one dealing concisely with the points which have to be considered upon the formation of a company, especially having regard to the changes effected by the Act of 1900, and such a work Mr. Nicolas has prepared. In successive chapters he deals with the subjects of incorporation, the memorandum and articles of association, classes of shares, preliminary agreements, underwriting, prospectuses, allotment, and commencement of business, and sets out the statutory requirements and also the effect of the numerous decisions which have been given upon this branch of company law. Useful chapters are included also upon the position of promoters and directors. The Act of 1900 has already given rise to important judicial pronouncements, in particular in regard to the regulations as to payment of commissions contained in section 8, and these, including the decision of the House of Lords in *Hilder v. Dexter* (51 W. R. 225), are duly noted. So also are the important decisions of recent years, such as *Re Peveril Gold Mines* (46 W. R. 198), on the preservation to shareholders of their statutory rights in spite of an attempt to set them aside by the articles. The appendix contains extracts from the rules of the Stock Exchange, and also forms for use in practice. The work will be found a convenient guide upon the subject.

Cases of the Week.

Before the Vacation Judge.

WASON v. ROYAL BRITISH BANK AND OTHERS. 19th Aug.

CONTEMPT OF COURT—COMMITTAL—PROMPTNESS IN COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER OF COURT—COMMUNICATION BY MANAGER OF BANK TO BRANCHES.

Motion on the part of the above-named plaintiff for himself and all other the shareholders of the Health Insurance Corporation (Limited) that the defendant Arthur Dougall Cochrane, the general manager of the defendant company, the Royal British Bank (Limited), and also Alexander Malcolm, merchant, of 31, Lombard-street, in the City of London, James Glass, of 1, Clerkenwell-road, in the City of London, and the Right Hon. Lord de Morley, the directors of the Royal British Bank (Limited), might stand committed to prison for their contempt as officers and directors of the defendants, the Royal British Bank (Limited), in failing to perform or carry out the undertaking contained in the order in this action, dated the 7th of August, 1903, and by which order the defendants, the Royal British Bank (Limited), and Arthur Dougall Cochrane undertook that they would not by themselves, or by their servants or agents, in any way act upon the application for 300 preference shares of £100 each in the Royal British Bank (Limited), or advertise, or in any way represent to the public, that the Health Insurance Corporation (Limited) had taken shares in the defendant company, the Royal British Bank (Limited), or, in the alternative, that the plaintiff might be at liberty to issue a writ or writs of attachment against the defendant Arthur Dougall Cochrane and the said Alexander Malcolm, James Glass, and Lord de Morley, directors, for their contempt aforesaid, and that the respondents might be ordered to pay to the plaintiff his costs of and consequent upon this application as between solicitor and client. In support of the motion it was said that the contempt consisted in the issuing by the defendants of a prospectus of the bank after the date of the order containing the statement that "£30,000 preference shares have been taken at par by an old-established insurance company." The undertaking was given on the 7th of August, and on the 12th of August clerks were sent to two branches of the bank, one at Clapham, and one at Huddersfield, and the prospectus was handed to them. The defendant Cochrane did not communicate with the branches

until the 10th of August. That was clearly not a prompt compliance with the order. The undertaking was given to prevent a gross fraud on the public, and ought to be enforced. If it had happened by inadvertence the persons guilty of the publishing ought to at least apologize. For the defendants it was said that the answer to the application was that the defendants had committed no breach of the undertaking.

WALTON, J., said that, in his opinion, there was no ground for the application, and the motion must be dismissed with costs.—COUNSEL, *Ward Colledge; Bramwell Davis, K.C., and Hodge. SOLICITORS, L. Weatherley; E. FitzPayne.*

[Reported by J. E. ALDOUS, Esq., Barrister-at-Law.]

Re THE ABSOLUTE LIFE ASSURANCE CO. (LIM.). 19th Aug.

LIFE ASSURANCE—INSOLVENCY OF COMPANY—APPROVAL OF AGREEMENT FOR PURCHASE OF RISKS—FORM OF SANCTION BY COURT.

Petition presented by the debenture-holders of the above-named company asking for a declaration that an agreement of the 7th of August, 1902, and a supplemental agreement of the 30th of June, 1903, ought to be performed and carried into execution after the approval thereof and sanction thereto should have been obtained from the court on a proper petition duly presented in that behalf under the Life Assurance Companies Acts, 1870 to 1872, and for an order accordingly. In support of the petition it was said that the company was incorporated on the 29th of May, 1894, for the purpose of carrying on the business of life assurance. The company duly deposited £20,000 with his Majesty's Paymaster-General pursuant to the Life Assurance Companies Act, 1870 and 1872, and afterwards commenced to carry on (*inter alia*) life assurance and accident assurance business. The life assurance policies issued by the company were numerous. The company, in order to raise the sum of £20,000, issued, pursuant to the powers contained in its memorandum of association debentures consisting of a series of 1,000 debentures of £20 each. The tangible assets of the defendant company amounted on the 31st of December, 1901, to about £33,000, and at that date it was insolvent and unable to pay its debts. The assets were insufficient to secure the policyholders and the debenture-holders. On the 1st of March, 1902, the debenture-holders commenced an action to enforce their security, and the object of the present scheme was to carry out the realization of the assets. After negotiations an agreement had been entered into with the Profits and Income Insurance Co. by which the latter were to take over the risks of the Absolute Life Assurance Co. The rights and interests of the policyholders would not be affected by the scheme. The sanction of the court was only asked for subject to proceedings under the Life Assurance Companies Act, 1870 to 1872, which would protect the interests of the policy-holders. If the scheme was not carried out the policyholders would carry in their claims and the assets would be swamped. As it appeared that the scheme would secure at least £12,000 for the policyholders it would seem to be of value to them. For the trustees for the debenture-holders and the liquidator of the Absolute Life Assurance Co., in support of the petition, it was said that a summons for the same object had been taken out by them. That summons was now in the list, and it was submitted that they ought to have the costs of it. Upon behalf of the petitioners it was admitted in reply that that was reasonable, and it was suggested that all proceedings on that summons should be stayed.

WALTON, J., sanctioned the agreement subject to a modification which the Profits and Income Insurance Co. were prepared to adopt, to the effect that in certain events certain policies should be included in the transfer and should be valued upon an appropriate basis, to be determined by certain valuers and an umpire.—COUNSEL, *Stewart-Smith, K.C., and Ward Colledge; Curtis Price. SOLICITORS, Nye, Moreton, & Cloves; L. Weatherley.*

[Reported by J. E. ALDOUS, Esq., Barrister-at-Law.]

NORFOLK ESTUARY CO. v. FLANDERS. 19th Aug.

PROFIT A PRENDRE—RIGHT OF SHOOTING—CLAIM BY CUSTOM—VALIDITY.

This was a motion on behalf of the plaintiffs that the defendant Flanders, his servants and agents, might be restrained until the hearing or further order from entering on the sea-banks and out-marshes forming part of the great estuary called The Wash, situate between the counties of Norfolk and Lincoln, for the purpose of shooting thereon and carrying away birds. In support of the motion it was said that by virtue of the Norfolk Estuary Acts, 1846, 1847, and 1877, the plaintiff company was now in occupation of the lands. The defendant claimed to shoot over them by custom and by having shot over them for a long time. A right of *profit a prendre* could not be claimed by custom. The defendant appeared in person.

WALTON, J., held that there must be an injunction as asked, and granted the same accordingly.—COUNSEL, *Ven Neck. SOLICITORS, Meynell & Pemberton.*

[Reported by J. E. ALDOUS, Esq., Barrister-at-Law.]

Cases of Last Sittings.

Court of Appeal.

ISLINGTON CORPORATION v. LONDON SCHOOL BOARD. No. 2.

1st July.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT—LANDS TAKEN COMPULSORILY—ASSESSMENT FOR POOR RATE—LIABILITY FOR DEFICIENCY IN GENERAL RATE—LAND CLAUSES CONSOLIDATION ACT, 1845 (8 & 9 VICT. c. 18), s. 133—LONDON GOVERNMENT ACT, 1899 (62 & 63 VICT. c. 14), s. 10 (2).

This was an appeal from the decision of Wright, J. (reported 51 W. R.

255; 1902, 2 K. B. 701). The action was brought by the Mayor, Aldermen, and Councillors of the Borough of Islington against the School Board for London for (1) a declaration that under the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845, and the London Government Act, 1899, the board ought to make good to the council the deficiency in the assessment to the general rate as defined by the last-mentioned Act on the house and lands known as 7, Barnsbury-park, Islington, by reason of such premises having been taken and used for the purposes of the works of the defendants, until the works shall be completed by the defendants and assessed to such rate; (2) the sum of £5 7s. 7d., being the second instalment of a general rate made the 28th of September, 1901, for the period ending the 25th of March, 1902. The parties had concurred in stating the following case for the opinion of the court: By section 133 of the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845, it is enacted that if the promoters of the undertaking become possessed by virtue of this or the special Act or any Act incorporated therewith of any lands charged with the land tax or liable to be assessed to the poor rate they shall from time to time until the works shall be completed and assessed to such land tax or poor rate be liable to make good the deficiency in the several assessments for land tax and poor rate by reason of such lands having been taken or used for the purposes of the works. By section 20 of the Elementary Education Act, 1870, as amended by section 15 of the Elementary Education Act, 1873, it is enacted that with respect to the purchase of land by school boards for the purposes of the Elementary Education Act, 1870—(1) the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845, and the Acts amending the same, shall be incorporated with this Act except the provisions relating to access to the special Act, and in construing those Acts for the purposes of this section the special Act shall be construed to mean the Act confirming an order of the Education Department for the purchase of land, together with the Elementary Education Act, 1870, and the promoters of the undertaking shall be construed to mean the School Board; and (2) the School Board before putting in force any of the powers of the Lands Clauses Consolidation Acts with respect to the purchase and taking of lands otherwise than by agreement shall give the notices prescribed by section 20 of the Elementary Education Act, 1870, and by the same section the Education Department may make an order authorizing the School Board to put in force with reference to the land referred to in such order the powers of the Lands Clauses Act, 1845, and the Acts amending the same with respect to the purchase and taking of land otherwise than by agreement; but no such order is to be valid until it is confirmed by Parliament. By a provisional order, duly made and confirmed by the Education Department Provisional Order Confirmation (London) Act, 1898 (61 & 62 VICT. c. cclv.), after section 20 of the Elementary Education Act, 1870, had been complied with, the Education Department ordered that the board be authorized to put in force the powers of the Lands Clauses Acts for the purchase and taking of lands otherwise than by agreement with reference to (*inter alia*) the premises known as 7, Barnsbury-park. On the 12th of December, 1900, the board, under the powers conferred by the order, became possessed of the premises, which consisted of a private dwelling-house and garden, which were then liable to be assessed to the poor rate, and were not exempt from that or any rate or liable to be assessed thereto at a less amount than other hereditaments, and in respect thereof the board became the promoters of an undertaking within the meaning of the said section 133, and the said Confirmation Act, together with the Elementary Education Act, 1870, became the special Act within the meaning thereof, and the works within such meaning in connection with the premises have not been completed and assessed to such poor rate. By virtue of the London Government Act, 1899, the council became the collectors of the assessment for poor rate mentioned in the said section 133. By section 10, sub-section 1, of the London Government Act, 1899, it is enacted that a scheme under that Act (which scheme was duly made and passed as regards the borough of Islington) shall provide for all expenses of a borough council being paid out of the general rate and for the discontinuance of a separate sewers rate and a separate lighting rate, but shall make provision for protecting the interest of owners and occupiers of any hereditaments which are exempt from any rate or liable to be assessed thereto at a less amount than other hereditaments, and by sub-section 2 of the same section it is enacted that the general rate and the poor rate shall be assessed, made, and levied together by the borough council as one rate, which shall be termed "the general rate," and shall be assessed, made, collected, and levied as if it were the poor rate, and all enactments applying or referring to the poor rate shall, subject to the provisions of this Act as to audit, be construed as applying or referring also to the general rate. By reason of such lands having been taken and used by the board for the purposes of the works, there had been, prior to the 28th of September, 1901, and still was an entire deficiency in the assessment for the general rate in respect thereof, and the plaintiffs, the Islington Borough Council, demanded from the defendants, the School Board, £5 7s. 7d., the amount of such deficiency. The proportion of such £5 7s. 7d. representing that portion of the general rate which was applicable to the relief of the poor was £3 13s. The questions for the opinion of the court were: (1) Whether the board were bound to make good to the council the whole of the deficiency in the general rate; (2) if not, whether the board were bound to make good to the council the deficiency in the proportion of the general rate which represented the poor rate. At the outset of the argument in the court below it was admitted that the defendants were liable for that portion of the general rate which represented the poor rate. Wright, J., answered the first question in the negative, and the second in the affirmative. The plaintiffs appealed.

THE COURT (VAUGHAN WILLIAMS, ROMER, and STIRLING, L.JJ.) dismissed the appeal.

VAUGHAN WILLIAMS, L.J.—I am of opinion that the judgment of the Wright, J., must be supported, and I adopt not only the judgment of the

learned judge, but his reasons. We have been referred to *Farmer v. London and North-Western Railway Co.* (36 W. R. 590, 20 Q. B. D. 788) as a strong authority applicable to the present case; but in my opinion that case only applies in this sense, it is a guide to the court in deciding whether section 133 of the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845, applies to the present case. Looking at that section I do not mean to say that there are no arguments which might be and properly were addressed to the court in support of the argument that the general rate ought to be considered as the "poor rate" within the meaning of the section; but I do not think that there is sufficient to support that argument. With regard to the effect of the Local Government Act, 1899, upon section 133 Wright, J., said that the form of sections 10 and 11 would be a strange form to adopt if the intention were to impose a novel burden of this kind, especially when it is remembered that section 133 is not concerned with rating at all. I entirely agree with that proposition. I cannot believe that if the Legislature had intended to impose a novel burden on the promoters of undertakings and to alter the liability in this very strong manner they would not have expressed it in clear terms. The appeal must be dismissed.

ROMER and STIRLING, L.J.J., delivered judgments to the same effect.—COUNSEL, Danckwerts, K.C., and Ryde; Avery, K.C., and Layman. SOLICITORS, A. M. Bramall; C. E. Mortimer.

[Reported by J. I. STIRLING, Esq., Barrister-at-Law.]

High Court—Chancery Division.

HODSON v. DEANS AND OTHERS. Joyce, J. 22nd, 23rd, 24th, 25th, 29th June; 4th July.

MORTGAGE TO FRIENDLY SOCIETY—SALE BY MORTGAGEES—PURCHASE BY OFFICIAL OF MORTGAGEES.

This was an action by a mortgagor to set aside the sale of the mortgaged property by the mortgagees. The plaintiff was a married woman and the defendants were the trustees of the London United District of the Ancient Order of Foresters, and Drew, who was the purchaser from the society of the mortgaged property. The plaintiff mortgaged, on the 6th of April, 1899, five leasehold houses situated in Arlington-street, Islington, for £1,300, repayable by instalments. In May, 1902, the plaintiff was in arrears with her payment of instalments under the mortgage. An action was commenced and the society recovered judgment against her for £82. A receiver was subsequently appointed of the property, and ultimately it was decided to have a sale. The property was put up by auction and sold for £1,475 to the defendant Drew. Drew, who obtained from the society an advance of £1,450 upon this property, coupled with collateral security, was a member of the investment committee of the society. The duties of this committee are provided for by the rules; they have to superintend the investment of the moneys of the society, and they have charge of the getting in and realization of mortgage securities. Counsel for the plaintiff contended that a mortgagee (1) cannot sell to himself; (2) he cannot sell to his solicitor or agent for sale, whether the solicitor acts for himself or as agent for a third party; (3) he cannot sell to anyone concerned in or connected with the sale on his behalf; (4) he must act in good faith; and that under the circumstances the sale to Drew was invalid. The defendants said that Drew had purchased on his own behalf at a fair and reasonable price, that the sale was in all respects *bona fide*, and that the purchase was in no way affected by the fact that Drew was a member of the investment committee. Counsel cited *Farrar v. Farrars (Limited)* (37 W. R. 196, 40 Ch. Div. 395), *Kennedy v. de Trafford* (45 W. R. 671; 1897, A. C. 180), *Martinson v. Clowes* (30 W. R. 795, 21 Ch. Div. 857), *Twining v. Morrice* (2 Bro. C. C. 326), *Nithorpe v. Pennyman* (14 Ves. 517), *Parnell v. Tyler* (2 L. J. Ch. 195), *Tennant v. Trenchard* (L. R. 4 Ch. App. 537), *Groat v. Smythe* (18 W. R. 742, L. R. 5 Ch. App. 551).

Joyce, J., in delivering judgment, said the mortgagees in this case were an artificial person, and the hand by which they acted in dealing with this mortgage was the investment committee of which Drew was a member. According to the minute book the secretary on the 17th of June, 1902, reported to the committee that he had been compelled to appoint a receiver of plaintiff's five houses, all the members being present. On the 18th or 19th of June, Drew secretly, without informing his colleagues, made a careful inspection of these five houses, and decided to buy them and make a profit out of them for himself. On the 20th of June Drew called on the plaintiff, and there is a conflict of evidence as to what took place. I see no reason to doubt the veracity of the plaintiff; further, her story is corroborated by evidence called on behalf of the defendants, and I am clearly of opinion that the defendant Drew's account cannot be accepted. The plaintiff says that Drew came to see her under the name of Deans. When she called on him on the 8th of September he admitted that his name was Drew, and not Deans. He told her that a receiver had been appointed of the property; she was very much upset, and thought that six months' notice was necessary, and no notice at all had been given of the appointment. Drew spoke of buying in the property, and told her that it was the duty of the society to buy in. He denies he said anything about buying in, but I am sure he did because of his own evidence. What was Drew's motive in going to see the plaintiff? He says he went to put her on her guard purely out of a friendly feeling for her, and that he had no idea of buying the property for himself. In my opinion all that was false. I think Drew went to the plaintiff in order to get the property for himself without a sale, but in this he did not succeed. On the 3rd of July there was a meeting of the investment committee when all agree it was decided to put the property up for sale. The society was entitled to sell, but they were under a duty to realize the property to the

best advantage. The minute book, which is very important although it was not disclosed, shows that in several instances, where mortgagors had fallen into arrears with their payments, the society had allowed payment of the instalments representing principal to stand over. I think in this case the society would have been satisfied by keeping up the payments of interest without insisting on a sale. Who, then, instigated the sale? A trustee and the secretary cannot swear it was not Drew. I think that Drew proposed the sale and nominated the auctioneer and that he was present when the instructions were given to the auctioneer. All the witnesses agree that the reserve price of £1,350 was fixed on the 17th of July. Some witnesses have said that the reserve prices were always fixed at a formal meeting of the trustees. I am satisfied that is not the case and that the reserve prices are fixed by the investment committee. I am confirmed in this by the entries in the minute book, according to which, whenever there were sales, reserves were fixed by the investment committee. I do not believe the defendant's story about fixing the reserves. I think it highly probable that Drew himself fixed the reserve price at what he was prepared to give for the property. The sale was fixed for the 28th of July; notice of sale was sent to the plaintiff or to her solicitor; she heard of it by the merest accident. And she did not get particulars till the 20th of July. If she had not heard of it, Drew would have bought the property at the reserve price. Drew had informed the secretary that he was going in for the property; he was the only member of the committee present at the sale; the ordinary members of the society were there in full force, a leaflet having been issued inviting them to come. Drew was the principal bidder, with the result that the sale must have been discouraged. The auctioneer said it seemed a strange thing to him that Drew, who had given instructions, should bid. The bidding eventually resulted in a competition between Drew and the plaintiff, although the reserve price was passed. Drew knew what the reserve price was, the plaintiff did not know; if she had she could have stopped the sale immediately the reserve price was passed; therefore they were not on equal terms. After the sale Drew went to the plaintiff and said, "I am sorry for you, but I told you I should have to buy them in." The plaintiff thought the property had been bought in on behalf of the society. Her solicitors subsequently applied for the name of the purchaser, but this was refused. What is the law with reference to this case? It was true that a mortgagee was not a trustee for sale in the ordinary sense; he had rights of his own, but still he was under obligations to the mortgagor. In *Farrar v. Farrars (Limited)*, a very important case, Lindley, L.J., in delivering the judgment of the court, said "it is perfectly well settled that a mortgagee with a power of sale cannot sell to himself either alone or with others, nor to a trustee for himself . . . nor to anyone employed by him to conduct the sale . . . A sale by a person to himself is no sale at all, and a power of sale does not authorize the donee of the power to take the property subject to it at a price fixed by himself even although such price be the full value of the property. Such a transaction is not an exercise of the power." In *Martinson v. Clowes* (21 Ch. Div. 857) it was decided that on a sale by a building society as mortgagees where the secretary of the society purchased two lots, the sale to the secretary was not valid as against the mortgagor, although there was no proof of undervalue. Then as to agents for sale Lord Cottenham in *Re Blay's Trusts* (1 Mac. & G., at p. 494), said "If the principal is incapacitated can the agent do that which the principal could not? The question arose . . . and was matter of observation by Lord Eldon in the case of *Dowson v. Greenbrook* (3 Mer. 200). There Lord Eldon . . . asks the question . . . whether the attorney could do that which the principal could not; whether, if a party is incapacitated from purchasing, he can employ an agent to do that which he could not do himself; and whether that agent had a power to purchase which his principal had not. It would be the most absurd distinction in the world." In this case I am satisfied, and find as a fact that the property was sold at an under-valuation, but not so great as to invalidate the sale. In my opinion the investment committee could not have sold privately to themselves at the price fixed by themselves. It is said that this defect was cured because the sale took place at an auction. The investment committee sold to one of themselves after the plaintiff had bid more than sufficient to cover principal, interest, and costs. According to *Farrar v. Farrars (Limited)* the onus in a case like the present was thrown upon the mortgagees of proving fairness and *bona fide*. Has that onus been discharged? Drew preferred his own interests to those of the plaintiff, and I distrust all the persons mixed up in the sale. The case is a very suspicious one, and I don't think the plaintiff has been fairly treated, or that the proceedings have been *bona fide*. Therefore the sale must be set aside and the defendants must pay costs.—COUNSEL, A. Reddel and S. R. Earle; Hughes, K.C., and Martelli; G. Lawrence. SOLICITORS, Churchman & Winsor; Whittington, Son, & Barham; G. H. Daniell.

[Reported by ALFRED C. THOMAS, Esq., Barrister-at-Law.]

So far, says the *Globe*, as the Lord Chief Justice is concerned, the Long Vacation will scarcely merit its name. Last year Lord Alverstone spent his well-earned holiday in South Africa as chairman of the Martial Law Commission. This year he will be busily occupied as one of the British members of the Alaska Boundary Commission, which will hold its first sitting at the Foreign Office on the 3rd of September. Sir Robert Finlay and Sir Edward Carson will also be able to realize what a curtailed Long Vacation would be like. The Solicitor-General has been appointed to assist the Attorney-General in the presentation of the British Canadian case before the Commission, in the place of Mr. Edward Blake, K.C., M.P., who found it necessary to abandon the performance of so heavy a holiday task.

Law Societies.

The Law Society.

The following solicitors became members of the Law Society during July: Francis William Nicholl, Bromyard; William Ernest Clifton, Nottingham; John Wylie, Birmingham; Arthur Charlton Jonas, Salisbury; Henry Percy Lewis Barnes, 260, Walworth-road, S.E.; Cecil Tilson Chowne, 17, Bedford-row; John Davies, Llanidloes; Arthur Gerald Higgs, Woodstock; Walter Ernest Mawksley, Southport; Stanley Augustus Richard Preston, Solicitors' Department, County Hall, S.W.; Vivian Mackay Woodhouse, Leicester; James Kelly, Langport; James Sumner Pollitt, New Mills; Courtenay Stevens, 42, Bedford-row, W.C.; Wilfred John Anderson, Manchester; Edmund John Richard Beal, 106, Hatton-garden, and Forest Gate; John Broughton Bowman, South Shields; John Kemp Cooke, Winsford; Hyam Davis, 7, Union-court, E.C.; Herbert Arthur Jones-Lloyd, Pembroke Dock; Norman Orfeur, Braintree; and Edward Lister Sandbach, Manchester.

Manchester Incorporated Law Association

The annual general meeting of the members of this association was held on Tuesday, the 28th of July, 1903, at their rooms, Kennedy-street, when an account of the receipts and disbursements for the year ending December, 1902 (previously audited by two of the members), was submitted and passed, and the officers and committee were elected for the ensuing year.

The report of the committee as to the proceedings of the association for the last year was read and unanimously adopted.

The president delivered an address, in which he said: I think the question which has more especially and prominently forced itself upon our attention is that of legal education, our interest in which has been stimulated by the anticipation of a fund of over £100,000, to be derived from the sale of Clifford's-inn and New-inn, and to be utilized for educational purposes. I had the pleasure of supporting the Principal of the Owens College in a deputation from Manchester, Liverpool and Yorkshire to the Attorney-General, and of joining in the efforts to persuade the latter to allocate a portion of this fund to the purpose of legal education in Manchester. What decision the Attorney-General will arrive at has yet to be seen. He seems to be anxious to devote the bulk of the money towards the foundation of a large school of law in London; and this, no doubt, though possibly in a different form, will be the desire of the Council of the Incorporated Law Society. We provincial solicitors can hardly raise objection to this view, which is, I think, the correct one so far as the bulk of the money is concerned; but I think strongly that a portion of the money should be applied in support of legal education in large commercial centres, such as Manchester, Liverpool, and Yorkshire, where the number of pupils is ample to justify the existence of schools of law. It is idle to suggest that our country articled clerks can avail themselves of a central school by attending lectures in London. Their daily work keeps them in the country; and, even if they do spend six or twelve months at the London agent's office, this usually occurs only at the end of their articles, so that any opportunities of training in London which they might have would not be available for their intermediate examination; and, even as regards the final, these opportunities would not be available until a period before which they should have already made considerable headway in their studies and preparations, and which might in no way synchronize with the times of commencement and periods of courses of lectures delivered in London on specific subjects. The large commercial centres are fairly entitled to share in the benefits to be obtained from this fund; and I think that none of them has a claim greater than that of Manchester, whose law school is, and for long past has been, so pre-eminent for thoroughness and efficiency. And I think that the benefit which I claim would be conferred far better and more effectively by financial assistance in the day-by-day teaching conducted at these centres than by any system of sending eminent Jurists to deliver isolated courses of lectures on special subjects. The success of the system of legal education in Manchester is doubtless mainly due to the excellence of the general scheme of training at the Owens College, and to the ability of the individual members of its teaching staff. I think, however, one of the sources of success may be found in the intimate and cordial relations which exist, and I trust always will exist, between the college and our Manchester Law Association. The authorities of the college arrange their system of teaching in their own way; but they avail themselves of our suggestions and co-operation, and the result is a system which gives to the full that academic training in the more theoretical and fundamental principles of law without which it would be superficial and ineffective and unworthy of a university, but combines with it the more practical teaching which, from our daily experience as solicitors, we know to be essential for the requirements of our articulated clerks. Theory and practice are working hand in hand together, and this can hardly fail to produce satisfactory results. This question of legal education is, I think, becoming every year more important to those who intend to practise as solicitors in a commercial centre like Manchester. The changes and developments in business and in business methods, the tendency to combination and to the formation of companies, societies, and associations, the increasing complications in the forms (and especially in the forms relating to finance) by which business is conducted, the increasing variations in the methods by which our foreign trade is conducted as new markets are opened out, and the rapid advance in the application of scientific principles and inventions to

commercial purposes, all render it ever more necessary that we should not be merely rule-of-thumb practitioners, but that we should be able to bring highly-trained, educated, and analytical minds to bear upon the endless variety of problems with which we are constantly having to deal, and as to which, as business is conducted nowadays, we frequently have to form our opinions and decide upon our clients' proper course of action, even in large and difficult matters, with a minimum of time for reflection. It is becoming more and more imperative that our knowledge should not be merely knowledge of individual decisions, but should be a knowledge of the fundamental principles upon which those decisions are based, more especially as we are continually having to advise upon problems and combinations of conditions which have never before arisen and been adjudicated upon; and, unless our knowledge goes to first principles, it is impossible for us to deal with these to our credit and to the advantage of our clients. I have referred to this subject because it is one upon which I think we should use our influence with our articulated clerks. I would encourage a clerk to go slowly and carefully through one leading case, considering and balancing and trying to criticize every word of every judgment in it, and referring to all the authorities quoted in it, rather than to make his mind an encyclopaedia of the results of fifty decisions on points of detail learnt by rote and undigested. If he adopts this method thoroughly and conscientiously during his period of training, he will find it much easier to assimilate, and in fact often even to anticipate, the detailed decisions when he gets into active practice. Of course he must acquire a certain amount, and in fact as much as possible, of detailed knowledge also; but no amount of such knowledge will ever make him a sound lawyer unless it is associated with and based upon a grasp of underlying principles. I fear members of the association will think that I have been enlarging at needless length upon truisms, but I make no apology for having done so. The rush and hurry of present-day work in a solicitor's office is such that we are often sorely tempted to come to superficial conclusions, and I think we should do what we can to check this tendency in those who are to follow us. We solicitors of Manchester especially ought to do what we can to secure that, in years to come, when many of us have passed away, those who have to follow us shall retain the high standing as a legal body which our profession in Manchester has held in days gone by, and which I venture think it holds to-day.

The following are extracts from the report of the committee:

Members.—The association now consists of 269 members. Since the last annual meeting 9 new members have been elected and 7 have ceased to be members.

Address for Service.—A letter having been received from Mr. Justice Kekewich with regard to address for service in district registries, and suggesting that he should bring the matter before the Rule Committee with a view to a new rule being framed enabling service at such address to be made by post, your committee considered the matter and a deputation from your committee attended a special meeting of the Associated Provincial Law Societies, with instructions to oppose the proposed new rule. A resolution was passed by a majority of the societies represented, approving Mr. Justice Kekewich's suggestion, subject to certain safeguards and to registered post being substituted for ordinary letter post. So far as your committee are aware, however, his lordship has not yet brought the matter before the Rule Committee.

Chancery Action Appeals from Lancashire Judge of the High Court.—A joint letter was sent by the president of the Liverpool Incorporated Law Society and the president of your association to the Master of the Rolls, requesting that appeals in Chancery matters from the Lancashire judge of the High Court might be placed on a footing, as regards the taking place of the hearing on a fixed day, similar to that of appeals from the Court of Chancery of the County Palatine of Lancaster. Your committee have been informed that the Master of the Rolls has now given the necessary directions for this arrangement to be carried out.

Stamping of Signed Contracts.—The attention of your committee was called by a firm of solicitors to the unsatisfactory position in which a purchaser at a sale by auction was placed by being unable to get his contract stamped after signature, except on payment of a penalty, in case such contract contained a condition precluding enquiry as to stamps, and your committee was asked "What is considered to be the duty of a vendor selling by auction with such a clause?" The resolution of your committee in reply to the enquiry is as follows: That this committee is of opinion that there is no obligation on a vendor to deliver a stamped contract to a purchaser, but that, so long as the rule prevails at the stamp office of refusing to stamp (except on payment of a penalty) any signed contract containing a clause precluding objections to unstamped or insufficiently stamped documents of title, it seems reasonable and desirable in such cases that the vendor on a sale by auction should deliver to the purchaser a duly stamped contract.

Mr. William Slater.—Mr. Slater having attained his professional jubilee by having been on the roll as an admitted solicitor for upwards of fifty years, your committee passed a resolution offering him their hearty congratulations, and expressing their highest appreciation of the service he has rendered to the association.

Coroner's Office of Manchester.—Your committee caused a copy of the resolution passed at the quarterly meeting of the association, held on the 30th of April last, to be forwarded to all the members of the city council. The following is a copy of the resolution: "That in the opinion of this association it is of the utmost importance that the office of coroner should be held by a gentleman having legal qualifications." Your committee have the pleasure to record the fact that owing in a large degree to the efforts of Mr. Lawson, one of its members, the city council reversed the decision of the committee to whom the selection of a coroner had been entrusted, and who had selected a medical man, and appointed a barrister to the office.

Law Association.

A meeting of the directors was held at the hall of the Law Society on Thursday, the 13th inst., Mr. Daw in the chair. The other directors present were Mr. Dolling Bolton, Mr. Burt, Mr. Foss, and Mr. Peacock. A sum of £60 was voted in relief of several applicants; two new members were elected, and other general business transacted.

Legal News.

Appointment.

Mr. F. G. LING, solicitor, has been appointed Clerk to the Magistrates for the Framlingham Petty Sessional Division of Suffolk, in succession to Mr. John Martin, who held the appointment for a great number of years and has resigned through ill-health. Mr. Ling was admitted in 1873.

Changes in Partnerships.

Dissolution.

ROBERT HART, JAMES FREDERICK BURTON, ROBERT PULSFORD HART, and FREDERICK WILLSON YEATES, solicitors (Burton, Yeates, & Hart), 23, Surrey-street, Strand, London. Aug. 11. So far as regards the said Robert Hart, who retires from practice. [Gazette, Aug. 14.]

General.

Seldom, says the *Globe* in "Wig and Gown," has such strong language come from the jury-box as from the twelve good men and true who startled the Divorce Court the other day with their complaints. "My lord, I may say, speaking for myself," said the boldest of these jurors, "that I do not care a — whether one or both sides have committed adultery, or on how many occasions, or with whom. It is nothing less than a public scandal that jurymen who are taken from their business to listen to a purely private civil squabble should be the only persons who are not paid for their services." It is not surprising that Sir Francis Jeune expressed his entire sympathy with the wearied jury, who had devoted several days to the hearing of a Hull divorce case, and were compelled to leave it unfinished because of the Long Vacation; but it is certainly an unusual thing for such language as the most indignant of the jurymen used to pass without some reprimand from the bench. Far less fortunate was a jurymen who once protested, in language both "painful and free," against being compelled to serve in the Manchester Court of Record. "I want to know," said the indignant juror, as he was about to be sworn, "what the devil I am brought here for?" This outburst was too much for the patience of the recorder, who at once ordered him to be taken into custody for contempt of court.

In the City of London Court, on the 19th inst., says the *Times*, Judge Lumley Smith, K.C., delivered a considered judgment in an action brought by Mr. Henry Lazarus, of the Hermitage, Bushey-heath, against the National Telephone Co. for damages for wrongfully cutting off his telephone service. According to the plaintiff's case, he became a subscriber three years ago to the defendants' service for one year, and paid a fixed rent-charge of £3 10s. a year. The arrangement had gone on from year to year, but last month, five days before the next payment was due, the defendant company required him not only to pay the £3 10s., but 30s. in addition for calls, and to sign a fresh contract. At first he refused to pay the 30s., but afterwards sent a cheque for £5, and declined to sign the fresh contract. His cheque was returned and the service disconnected. He now claimed damages for the inconvenience to which he was subjected. The defendants' case was that at the end of every year they had the power to say whether any agreement should be continued or not. The company found that the original method of payment did not pay, and they demanded a *minimum* payment of 30s. for calls instead of a penny per call—the old arrangement. Judge Lumley Smith, in giving judgment for the defendants, said in his opinion the approval of the Telephone Co. was requisite at the commencement of each year to enable the hiring to continue. The defendants did not approve of a continuance after the third year, and were not bound to continue. No notice was stipulated for, but the Telephone Co. might reasonably have given the plaintiff more than a few days' notice. The action failed, but he would give leave to appeal. As the legal aspect was doubtful, it was only fair that he should order each party to pay their own costs.

At Bow-street, on the 19th inst., says the *Times*, Sir A. de Rutzen gave judgment in the case which was before him on Tuesday in which Detective-sergeant Ward, of New Scotland-yard, was summoned for forging the name of Anthony Buck Creeke, jun., solicitor, of Leadenhall-street, to a telegram sent to one Walter Richards with the object of facilitating his arrest on a charge of stealing official stamps from Somerset-house. Sir A. de Rutzen said the facts were perfectly simple, and were undisputed. Sergeant Ward had admitted having caused the telegram to be sent; and the only question was whether by so doing he had committed an offence within the meaning of the Act. Mr. Muir, for the defence, had raised two or three points, the first of which was that Sergeant Ward sent the telegram under a claim of right, and, therefore, the court had no jurisdiction in the matter. He would pass that contention by, because he did not think there was much in it. The second point raised was that it was not a forgery within the meaning of the Act, because the definition of forgery at common law was the fraudulent

alteration or making of writing to the prejudice of another man's right, and here there had been no such prejudice. As a matter of law he thought an offence had been committed; and, that being so, he had to determine whether it was an offence of any real character or whether it was purely technical. In his opinion it was an offence of a technical character pure and simple. It was committed by a man who had served sixteen years in the police force with an exemplary character, and not the slightest harm had been done to any living being. Mr. Creeke had said very fairly in his evidence that there had been no injury to him; and clearly there had not. If there had been any inconvenience it was of the most infinitesimal character and was hardly worth taking into consideration. Having come to the conclusion that an offence had been committed, he had to decide what punishment should be awarded. In his opinion it was a case which clearly came within the meaning and the intention of section 16 of the Summary Jurisdiction Act. The effect of that section was that if upon the hearing of a charge for an offence punishable on summary conviction the court thought that, though the charge was proved, the case was of so trifling a nature that it was inexpedient to inflict any punishment, the court might, without proceeding to convict, dismiss the information. This was a case which clearly came within the meaning of that section, and the summons would therefore be dismissed.

The Property Mart.

Result of Sale.

MESSRS. H. E. FORSTER & CRAWFORD held their usual Fortnightly Sale of Life Policies, Reversionary and other Interests at the Mart on Thursday, the total realized being \$248. The most noticeable item was the sale of a One Hundred and Fiftieth Part of a King's Share in the New River Company, after considerable competition, for £600.

Winding-up Notices.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, AUG. 14.

ASSOCIATED BEVERAGE MANUFACTURERS, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Aug. 29, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to 1, Wood, 40, Deansgate arcade, Manchester.

CLAY, HENRIQUES, & CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept. 18, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to J. Hale, Victoria Foundry, Dewsbury Elkin & Henriques, Salter's Hall et, Cannon st, solvers for liquidator.

MANCHESTER TRACTION CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept. 23, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to William Bolton, 13, Spring gds, Manchester Sutton & Co, Manchester, solvers for liquidator.

MARQUARD ACCUMULATOR CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept. 18, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Richard Leyshon, 12, Mount Stuart sq, Cardiff.

OMMONDS, LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)—Creditors are required, on or before Sept. 23, to send their names and addresses, and the proof of their debts or claims, to Robert Stokes, 36, Col age gn, Dublin.

RHOADSIAN GOLD TRUST, LIMITED—Creditors are requested, on or before Sept. 23, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Robert Farrall Masterton, Winchester House, Old Broad st Burn & Berridge, Old Broad st, solvers for liquidator.

RIVER STRAM COLLIERY CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept. 14, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Charles William Howard, Africa House, Leadenhall st. Parker & Co, Cornhill, solvers for liquidator.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, AUG. 18.

BENWICK STEAMSHIP CO., LIMITED (IN VOLUNTARY LIQUIDATION)—Creditors are required, on or before Sept. 20, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to John William Thompson, Royal Insurance bldgs, Queen st, Newcastle on Tyne.

BRITANNIA SOAP CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept. 15, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Joseph Schofield, 323, Liverpool rd, Patricroft, nr Manchester. Leak & Frost, Manchester, solvers.

COVENTRY EAGLE CYCLE CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept. 30, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Arthur H. Hellyer, Shannon ct, Bristol.

FALCON BREWERY CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept. 23, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to James Wallis, Portland House, Basinghall st.

JOHN C. NIMMO, LIMITED—Petition for winding up, presented Aug. 12, directed to be heard before Mr Justice Walton on Aug. 26. Scott & Co, 15, Queen st, Chancery, solvers for the petitioners. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Aug. 25.

JOHNSON & HOOPER (LIMITED)—Creditors are required, on or before Sept. 26, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to James Wallis, Portland House, Basinghall st.

LONDON MOTOR OMBUS SYNDICATE (LIMITED)—Petition for winding up, presented Aug. 12, directed to be heard before Walton, J., on Sept. 9. Rawlinson & Son, New Broad st, solvers for petitioner. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Sept. 8.

MCLAREN & NORRIS (LIMITED)—Creditors are required, on or before Sept. 23, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to George Albert Pond, 23, Coleman st.

NARDI & CO., LIMITED—Petition for winding up, presented July 30, directed to be heard Aug. 26. Oppenheimer, Finsbury sq, solvers for petitioner. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Aug. 25.

NEWTON COAL AND LIME CO., LIMITED (IN VOLUNTARY LIQUIDATION)—Creditors are required, on or before Oct. 3, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Thrale Coulson Martin, 86, Pilgrim st, Newcastle upon Tyne.

PUBLIC UNDERTAKINGS SYNDICATE, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept. 14, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to William Crossman Spencer, Central bldgs, North John st, Liverpool Oppenheimer & Son, 55, Regent, solvers for liquidator.

ROSE MILLS MANUFACTURING CO., DARWEN, LIMITED (IN VOLUNTARY LIQUIDATION)—Creditors are required, on or before Sept. 30, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Thomas Hindle, District chmbrs, Darwen. Hindle & Son, Darwen, solvers for liquidator.

THEATRICAL VENTURES, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept. 29, to send their names and addresses, with particulars of their debts or claims, to Lumley & Lumley, Old Jewry chmbrs, solvers for liquidator.

Creditors' Notices.

Under 22 & 23 Vict. cap. 35.

LAST DAY OF CLAIM.

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, July 28.

ANDREWS, THOMAS, jun, Harborne, Staffs, Cabinet Maker Aug 25 Harding & Goode, Birmingham

BAKER, MARGARET, Lower Sloane st Aug 28 Ellis, Sunderland

BAITSWAITE, ROBERT, Lytham, Lancs Aug 30 Holden, Lytham

BOW, WILLIAM, Sheffield, Auctioneer Sept 24 H & A Maxfield, Sheffield

CHAMBERLEY, Lieut Col HENRY JOHN, Clifton, Bristol Aug 23 Diamond & Son, Welbeck st

COAT, Col ARTHUR, Karachi, Sind, British India Sept 4 Corbould & Co, Henrietta st, Cavendish sq

COST, CAROLINE, South Belgavia Sept 4 Corbould & Co, Henrietta st, Cavendish sq

CUMMINS, GEORGE, Middlesbrough, Innkeeper Sept 1 Sill, Middlesbrough

CURRY, ANN, Spenny Moor, Durham Aug 31 Badcock, Bishop Auckland

CURTIS, THOMAS, Hoyeton St John, Norfolk Aug 28 Goodchild, Norwich

DORAN, JOSEPH CRAMPTON, George st, Hanover sq Sept 5 Twissden & Co, Gray's inn sq

ELLIOTT, ELIAS, Deal Aug 28 Brown & Brown, Deal

FIELD, MARY, St Leonard's on Sea Sept 1 Chalinder & Herington, Hastings

GRAY, JANE, Newcastle upon Tyne Aug 24 Arnott & Co, Newcastle upon Tyne

GREENFIELD, THOMAS, Deal, Boarding House Keeper Aug 27 Brown & Brown, Deal

GRIMMITH, THOMAS, Prestatyn, Flint Aug 12 Williams & Williams, Rhyl

GRINER, ANN, Christchurch Aug 31 Holton, Stoke upon Trent

GRINDY, JANE, Worsley, Lancs Aug 31 Clegg, Bolton

HARVEY, ELIZA, Dalton Aug 31 Dunkerton & Son, Bedford row

HOTTE, EMMA, Blackpool Sept 7 Heath & Sons, Manchester

HUGHAN, SAMUEL CHISHOLM, Plymouth, Devon Oct 1 Rooker & Co, Plymouth

HUTCHINS, ELIZA, St Anne's on the Sea, Lancs Sept 9 Dendy & Paterson, Manchester

JONESON, WILLIAM MARSHALL, Manchester, Wheelwright Sept 7 Heath & Sons, Manchester

KELLY, EDWARD, Lower Broughton, Salford Aug 21 Orrell, Manchester

KING, ALEXANDER, Newhall, nr Nantwich Aug 31 Martin, Nantwich

LANDBROOKE, THOMAS, Warwick Aug 26 Moore & Tibbitts, Warwick

LAMBARDE, SOPHIA KATHARINE GAMBIER, Cambridge Aug 23 Diamond & Son, Welbeck st

LEACH, JAMES, Newcastle upon Tyne Aug 25 T & B Swaney, Newcastle, Staffs

LEED, DAVID, Keighley, Yorks Aug 11 Dewhurst, Keighley

MAYNARD, ESTHER CAROLINE, Clifton, Bristol, Schoolmistress Sept 5 King, Bristol

MORRIS, MARY, Balham Sept 1 Phillips & Co, Sherborne In

MOUNT, The Ven FRANCIS JOHN, Burpham Vicarage, nr Arundel, Sussex Aug 23 Diamond & Son, Welbeck st

PALMER, JOHN LINTON, Rock Ferry, Cheshire, Fleet Surgeon Sept 1 Lindsay & Co, Lymmidge In

REES, GEORGE, Sitford Green, Picture Dealer Sept 10 Andrews & Co, Essex st, Strand

REIDY, FRIDERIC, Bury St Edmunds, Farmer Sept 1 Banks & Co, Bury St Edmunds

SALT, SOPHIA, Handsworth Aug 31 Jagger, Birmingham

TWED, JOHN JAMES, Grosvenor sq, Surgeon Aug 31 Haines, John st, Bedford row

WATSON, ANN MARIA, Chorlton on Medlock, Manchester Aug 31 Gardner & Co, Manchester

WETTON, JOSEPH, Blackpool Aug 24 Anderton, Blackpool

WHITE, GEORGE MORRWOOD, Salford, Butcher Dec 23 Eltort, Manchester

WILKINSON, ELLEN, Stalybridge Sept 12 Earle & Co, Manchester

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, July 31.

ALLEN, JOHN HAMILTON EBRINGTON, Dinecott pl Nov 1 Jasties, Lincoln's inn fields

ANDERSON, JAMES PORTER, Northolt Sept 1 Urquhart, Portdown rd, Maida Vale

AREBY, ANN, Far Headingley, Leeds Aug 17 Simpson & Co, Leeds

BAILEY, GEORGE COX, Hampton Wick Sept 10 Baylis, Pease & Co, Fore st

BALLARD, CHARLES, Spencer's Wood, nr Reading, Draper Aug 29 Martin & Martin, Reading

BATES, SOLOMON, Lindley, nr Huddersfield, Contractor Sept 5 Piercy, Huddersfield

BEALEY, WILLIAM, Wimbledon Sept 12 Hubbs, Chancery In

BICKETT, SAMUEL ALLEN, Urmost, nr Manchester Pinfine Manufacturer Aug 14 Scholes, Manchester

BECKWITH, MARY, and ARTHUR BECKWITH, New Worley Leeds Sept 1 James, Leeds

BELLEGHEM, RUTH TODD VAN, Hammersmith Sept 28 Priest, Gt James st, Bedford row

BENTHAL, FRANCIS, Silsco, Beds Aug 31 Pridaues & Sons, Goldsmiths' Hall

BIRN, JOHN, Hollins, nr Padham, Lancs, Farmer Sept 1 Pollard, Burnley

BROWN, WALTER, Leicester, Licensed Victualler Aug 31 Stevenson & Son, Leicester

CARTER, OLIVER S, West Orange, Essex, New Jersey, US Sept 1 C & E Woodroffe, Eastcheap

CHUBB, ELIZA BEALEY, Gloucester Gate, Regent's pk Aug 31 Geoffrey Chubb, Moor-gate st

COLEMAN, JANE HENRIETTA STUBGORN, Falmouth Aug 31 Bullmore, Falmouth

JOY DOWNES, CRAWHALL, Clifton Down Sept 29 Crawlhall & Norris, Bristol

CRAFTY, ALFRED, West Hartpool, Somerset Sept 12 Tarr & Sons, Bristol

DAVIES, KATE, Hammersmith Sept 15 Saxton & Morgan, Somerset st, Porman sq

DEER, LYNAN SARAH, Hipperholme, Halifax Sept 1 Walslow, Halifax

DEER, JOHN HAIGIE, Hipperholme, Halifax Sept 1 Walslow, Halifax

DICKINSON, GEORGE, Leigh, Lancs Aug 31 Marsh & Co, Leigh

DIXIE, EMMA GEORGINA, Bloomfield pl, Ebury st Sept 4 Adams & Adams, Clement's inn

EADERS, JOHN, Charlton Mackrell, Somerset, Yeoman Sept 1 Poole & Son, Bridgewater

ESTLIN, MARY ANNE, Bristol Aug 31 Pritchard, Bristol

GARDNER, JOHN, Bognor Aug 18 Cutts, Bognor

GROVES, ANN, Christchurch Aug 31 Holton, Stoke upon Trent

GURST, THOMAS, Cradley Heath, Staffs, Grocer Aug 21 Cooksey, Old Hill, Staffs

GUTHRIE, MARY ANN, Dudley Aug 17 Hooper & Fairbairn, Dudley

HAGLEY, ALEXANDER AUGUST, Kentish Town Sept 1 Pease & Co, Bedford row

HART, THOMAS, Keatley, nr Bolton Sept 1 Monks & Co, Bolton

HARLER, LUCY CHILD, Harrogate Sept 1 Sale & Co, Manchester

HEAD, THOMAS, Blackpool Aug 10 Fletcher & Son, Blackpool

HESSELMAN, WILLIAM, Hovingham, Yorks, Farmer Aug 31 Pearson & Co, Helmsley, Yorks

HOLLAND, ISAAC, Macclesfield, Baker Aug 31 Sheldon & Co, Macclesfield

HUNT, CAROLINE, King's Heath, Worcester Aug 28 Snow & Atkins, Birmingham

KEYFORTH, ANN, Stalybridge Aug 31 Buckley & Co, Stalybridge

LEARY, HENRY PALMER, Rev, Chilcompton, Somerset Oct 1 Meade-King & Sons, Bristol

LIGHTFOOT, SARAH ANN, Lambeth Sept 16 Thirkell, Ryde, I of W

LUMBERT, HARRIETTE BILLINGHAM, Banbury, Oxford Aug 27 Bennett, Banbury

MACE, JAMES HENRY, Aug 31 Greenip & Co, St George st, Mansion House

MATTHEWS, GEORGE, South Shields Aug 30 Grunhut, South Shields

MAURAN, EMILY, Hendredefchan, Llanber, Merioneth Aug 31 Chater & Atkinson, Newcastle upon Tyne

MORTLOCK, ERNEST JOHN, Abington, Cambridge Sept 29 Francis & Co, Cambridge

NEWALL, WILLIAM, Garsdon, Leamington Sept 7 Monkhouse & Dixon, Liverpool

OLDBURY, JESSE, Aston, Warwick, Pistol Maker Sept 14 Rabnett, Birmingham

PALMER, PHOEBE GARFIELD, Ipswich Sept 10 Baylis & Co, Fore st

PALMER, SARAH HODGE, St Leonard's on Sea Oct 1 Ellis, St Leonard's on Sea

PIPER, WALTER JAMES, Bradford Sept 1 Reinhardt, Leeds

PORTER, JOHN, Preston Aug 27 Clarke & Co, Blackpool

RAYFORD, FREDERICK, Nottingham, Lace Manufacturer Sept 18 Rorke & Jackson, Nottingham

RODD, WILHELMINA MARY, Tunbridge Wells Sept 19 Walters & Co, New sq, Lincoln's inn

SHAW, WILLIAM ROBERT, Southport Sept 14 Mawdsley & Hadfield, Southport

SHKARD, SARAH, Knowl, Mirfield, Yorks, Greengrocer Sept 12 Wilson, Mirfield

SHOPSHIRE, GEORGE, Baldwin's Gate, nr Whitmore, Staffs, Du'cher Sept 1 Walters, Stone, Staffs

SLANEY, ANNIE, Highgate Aug 29 Blount & Co, Albemarle st

SMITH, WILLIAM HILL, Richmond, Surrey, Coal Merchant Sept 1 Burchell & Co, Victoria st

STERKE, HENRY, Burslem, Staffs, Auctioneer Sept 1 Boulton, Burslem

THOMPSON, GEORGINA, South Shields Sept 1 Moore & Armstrongs, South Shields

THORNEYCROFT, THOMAS, Wolverhampton Oct 1 Fowler & Co, Wolverhampton

WHALLEY, ELI, Ashton under Lyne, Salt Merchant Aug 27 Whitworth & Co, Ashton under Lyne

WILD, ELIZABETH, Newton Heath, Manchester Aug 31 Betty, Manchester

WILKINSON, Sir JOSEPH LOFTUS, Gloucester ter, Hyde pk Sept 1 Peacock & Goddard, South sq, Gray's inn

WINDSOR, EDWARD, Higher Broughton, near Manchester, Draper Sept 12 Doyle, Manchester

YATES, RICHARD, Shrewsbury Aug 29 Hughes, Shrewsbury

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Aug. 4.

BAILEY, JOHN, Worcester, Yeoman Aug 28 Ponsoney & Cardie, Oldham

BARCLAY, HENRY, Gt Titchfield st, St Marylebone, Butcher Aug 29 Rawlinson & Son, New Broad st

BARCLAY, MARY HARRIET, Ealing Aug 29 Rawlinson & Son, New Broad st

BELL, FRANCES, Holland rd, Kensington Sept 1 Walmsey & Stansbury, The Outer Temple, Strand

BROADWAY, GEORGE, Handsworth, Staffs, Accountant Sept 1 East & Smith, Birmingham

BURTON, M. WYRIA, Moss Side, Manchester Sept 3 Lea, Manchester

CHAMBERLAIN, ESTHER, Silvertown, Devon Sept 14 J & S P Pope, Exeter

CLAPHAM, GEORGE, Dewsbury, Farmer Sept 7 Clough & Crabtree, Cleckheaton

CLARK, HANNAH, Haydon Bridge, Northumberland Sept 7 Brown & Son, Newcastle upon Tyne

DERBYSHIRE, WILLIAM HIGGINOTHAM, Cheetham Hill, Manchester, Yarn Salesman Sept 1 Goulby & Goodfellow, Manchester

HART, ROBERT PATRICK, Ashford, Middlesex Sept 5 Nash & Co, Queen st

HAYWARD, WILLIAM, Cornhill, Cigar Merchant Aug 21 Mitchell & Mallinson, Fenchurch st

HYDE, WILLIAM HENRY, Lordship In Sept 1 Hyde, Worcester

INGHAM, HANNAH, Wortley, Leeds Sept 1 Barr & Co, Leeds

LYROCK, HENRY, Stourbridge, Chemist Sept 12 Harwards & Co, Stourbridge

MABER, HARRIET CAROLINE, Colchester Sept 1 Whitley & Denton, Colchester

MULLER, DOBROTHA EVA, King sq, St Luke's Sept 30 North, Birkbeck Bank chbrs

NESVING, MARGARET, Tunbridge Wells Sept 28 Cosgrove, New sq, Lincoln's inn

OLIVER, DAVID, Liverpool Sept 1 Moore & Son, Birkhead

PRESTON, GEORGE HENRY, Rev, Earl's Barton, Northampton Sept 14 Williams, Leicester

ROBERTSON, JAMES, Islington Sept 16 Mason & Co, John st, Bedford row

SHAFT, JULIA ANN, Laxden, Colchester Sept 1 Whitley & Denton, Colchester

THOMPSON, MARY, Bourne-mouth Aug 31 Waterhouse & Co, New st, Lincoln's inn

TOMLINSON, JOHN, Cab Proprietor, Sheffield Sept 5 Rodgers & Co, Sheffield

TROTTER, JOHN THOMAS, Hexham, Northumberland, Engineer Oct 1 Denison & Slater, Newcastle upon Tyne

WARD, HENRY, Dragon Villa, nr Durham Aug 21 Mawson, Durham

WARD, JANE, Dragon Villa, nr Durham Aug 21 Mawson, Durham

WELLSTED, GEORGE NATHANIEL, Portsmouth, Contractor Aug 30 Bechervaise, Portsmouth

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, Aug. 3.

ASHLEY, MARY, Bath Sept 10 Stone & Co, Bath

BARTLETT, THOMAS HENRY NICKS, Croydon Sept 5 Mason & Co, Lincoln's inn fields

BELLIN, ALICE, Regent's Park rd Sept 15 Bower & Co, Bream's bldgs, Chancery In

BELLINGHAM, THOMAS HERNE BAY Aug 31 Burch & Brooks, Canterbury

BENNETT, ALBERT WILLIAM, Ashton upon Mersey, Chester Sept 7 Boote, Manchester

BETHELL, HENRY, Clifton, Bristol Sept 11 Sinnott, Bristol

BUTCHER, HARRIET, Canonbury rd Aug 15 Solomon, Basinghall st

CAULFIELD, JAMES FRANCIS, Manchester, Merchant Sept 18 Dixon & Linell, Manchester

COLLIER, CHARLES, Regent's street Aug 31 Boman & Co, Gt Winchester st

COLLIER, WALTER GEORGE, Waldron, Sussex, Farmer Sept 5 Spratt & Sons, Mayfield, Sussex

CORNS, CHARLES HENRY, Hyde Park, MRCs, LBCP Sept 30 Pears & Co, Albemarle st

CROWTHER, JOE, Birmingham, Butcher Sept 14 Burton, Birmingham

DEARDEN, THOMAS, Manningham, Bradford rd Aug 25 Buckwell & Berkeley, Brighton

GAYFORD, DUDLEY CHARLES, Dulwich Sept 15 Ward & Co, Gracechurch st

GWE, ELIZABETH, Wretton, Norfolk Sept 1 Mellor, Downham Market

GODFREY, DANIEL, Lupus st, Fimble Sept 29 Scott, New Broad st

GORDON ANDREW JAMES, Adelphi, Strand Nov 2 Wynne & Sons, New st, Lincoln's inn

GRIFFITHS, ELIZABETH, Croydon Aug 31 Smith & Synn, Alder-gate st

GRILLS, ELIZABETH, Penzance Sept 1 Trythall & Rodilly, Penzance

HANWAY, JAMES LENOX, Fimlico Sept 8 Peake & Co, Bedford row

HARRISON, MARY BEALEY, Gresford, Denbigh Aug 30 Southam, Manchester

HENSLEY, MARY ANN, Ilfracombe Sept 7 Huxtable, Ilfracombe

HINE, ANN, Canonbury Park, South, Islington Sept 10 Edwards & Sons, Moorgate st

HINE, JOHN, Islington Sept 10 Edwards & Sons, Moorgate st

HORTON, SAMUEL, Hartwell, Bucks, Farmer Sept 4 Horwood & James, Aylesbury

HUTCHWAITE, ROY THOMAS WALTER, Scarborough Sept 1 Langley, Stockton on Tees

KEYS, GEORGE FREDERICK, Dalton, Licensed Victualler Sept 1 Dyson & Co, Gt Winchester st

LEWIS, Rev DANIEL, Caerphilly, Glam Sept 5 Tennant & Jones, Aberavon

MACAULAY, JOHN, Tynemouth Sept 15 Brown & Son, Newcastle upon Tyne

MACKINDER, SUSANNAH, Heighington Manor, Lincs Sept 16 Ward, Lincoln

MOORE, JOHN HILTON, Wallasey, Chester, Physician Sept 4 Tyler & Co, Liverpool

PARKER, CATHERINE, Lichfield Sept 1 Russell & Son, Lichfield

PHARAZZY, CHARLES, Harrington gins Sept 18 Trinder & Co, Leadenhall st

PRICE, JOHN, Newcastle-upon Tyne, Shipbuilder Sept 15 Armstrong & Sons, Newcastle upon Tyne

PUSELEY, MARY ANNE, Camden rd Sept 1 Swann & Co, East India av

SHERIFF, JOHN, Bradford Sept 1 Rhodes, Bradford

SLAWSON, GEORGE, Llangollen, Denbigh, Marine Store Dealer Sept 5 Minshall & Co, Llangollen

SMITH, ELIJAH, Chorley, Lancs Sept 25 Whitfield, Chorley

SNARE, WILLIAM GADMAN HARRIS, Eastbourne Sept 16 Arnold, Eastbourne

STAPLES, ELIZA, Queen's pk, Kingston Sept 1 Coxwell & Pope, Southampton

THOMAS, MARGARET, Betws, nr Bridgend Sept 6 Cuthbertson & Powell, Nenth

TURNER, FRANCIS, Downham Market, Norfolk Sept 1 Mellor, Downham Market

WATERER, MATILDA SELINA, St Margaret's at Cliffe, Kent Sept 4 King & Burrell, Gresham st

WATKINS, MARY, Norfolk Sept 20 Stevens & Drayton, Queen Victoria st

WILSON, PETER, Hampton Wick Aug 31 Fawcett, Cullum st

WOODHOUSE, ROBERT HALL, Hanover sq, Westminster Sept 1 Peacock & Goddard, South sq, Gray's inn

YOUNG, ROBERT, York, Solicitor Sept 1 Watkinson, York

YOUNG, ANN, York Sept 1 Watkinson, York

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, August 11.

ALSTON, PRECIVAL JOHN, Stoke Newington Sept 30 Gane & Kilner, Gt St Helen's

ARNEY, WILLIAM CHAPPELL, Badgworth, nr Abridge, Somerset, Farmer Sept 29 Tarr & Sons, Bristol

BALD, ALEXANDER, Ben Rhydding, Yorks Oct 1 Mossman & Co, Bradford

BALL, MARY, Liverpool, Port Butcher Aug 25 Trecy & Lynch, Liverpool

BROWN, JOSEPH, Derby, House Agent Sept 12 Robotham & Co, Derby

COOPER, MARIA, Worcester Sept 7 Lord & Parker, Worcester

DARLING, JOHN, Southampton Sept 30 Hallett & Martin, Southampton

DAWSON, ROBERT, Cosham, Hants Sept 25 Pollock & Co, Lincoln's inn fields

DEATON, JOSEPH, jun, Walthamstow, Box Manufacturer Sept 29 Noon & Clarke, Gt St He'en's
 DOBBS, EDWARD, Cardiff, Licensed Victualler Sept 1 Coulbourne & Co, Newport, Mon
 DOBBS, JOHN RICHARD, Roath, Cardiff, Licensed Victualler Sept 1 Coulbourne & Co, Newport, Mon
 DUTTON, WILLIAM HEWITT, Warrington Sept 8 Roberts, Warrington
 EDWARDS, SARAH, Ellesmere, Salop Sept 8 Giles, Ellesmere, Salop
 FRIEND, SARAH ANN, Dover Sept 9 Mowll & Mowll, Dover
 GARRETT, ROBERT, Warrington Sept 13 Davies & Co, Warrington
 GORE, ALBERT AUGUSTUS, Whitchurch Aug 31 Parker & Co, Cornhill
 GRAHAM, ESTHER MARTHA, Wylstey gds, Kensington Sept 29 Ridley & Co, Budge row
 LIVESLEY, JOHN, Farnworth, nr Bolton Sept 1 Rawthorn & Co, Preston
 HAIGH, REGINALD, Oxted, Chester, Cotton Broker Sept 8 Müller & Co Liverpool
 HALL, JOHN, Dukinfield, Chester Sept 9 Whitworth & Co, Ashton under Lyne
 HALL, WILLIAM FREDERICK, Peckham, Timber Merchant Sept 30 Wood, Finsbury sq
 HANSON, ANNE, Harrogate Sept 8 Banks-Newell & Co, Bradford
 HUSSEY, ANNE BESSIE, New Brighton Sept 1 Pickstone & Jones, Radcliffe
 KELLY, ELIZA, Bayswater Sept 23 Laundry & Co, Bedford st, Strand
 KILLINGBACK, JOHN PILLEY, Northampton sq, Clerkenwell Sept 30 Birt & Son, Southwark
 MANSFIELD, MARTHA, Dover Sept 9 Mowll & Mowll, Dover
 MARROW, PETER, Dunbar, N B Sept 5 Gibbons & Arkle, Liverpool
 MAYHEW, MARY, Wye, Kent Sept 19 Mowll & Mowll, Ashford, Kent
 MOORE, COMMISSARY GENERAL HENRY, Kew Sept 30 Nicholson & Crouch, Surrey st, Strand
 NEWMAN, GEORGE FRANKLYN, Brixham, Devon Aug 31 Eastley & Co, Torquay
 NORTH, CHARLES ALFRED, Cardiff Sept 18 Lewis, Cardiff
 PARKER, CHARLES, West Ealing, Railway Clerk Sept 12 Sinnott & Son, Bristol
 RICHARDSON, HAIGH, Putney Oct 1 East, Basinghall st
 RICKWOOD, JOHN, Ely, Cambridge, Farmer Sept 12 Hall, Ely
 SAVAGE, CHARLES WALTER, Gt Portland st, Jeweller Sept 12 Skelton, Lincoln's inn fields
 SMITH, HELENA, Brixton Sept 30 Wood & Co, Gt James st, Bedford row
 SMITH, JOHN VALENTINE, Liverpool September 25 Layton & Co, Liverpool
 SMITH, MARY, Chester Aug 25 Morgan, Chester
 SMITH, ROBERT, Norwich, Miner Oct 1 Culley, Norwich
 STEELE, HARRIETTE ELLEN, Hughenden, Bucks Sept 11 Allan, High Wycombe
 TOWNSEND, SARAH ANN, Feigham, Norwich Sept 11 Goodchild, Norwich
 WHITE, ANN, Dursley, Glos Sept 1 Francillon, Dursley
 WINTERBOTTOM, JOHN, East Failsbury, Lancs Aug 25 Holroyd, Oldham
 WOOLNUGH, JOHN ALFRED, New Barnet Sept 8 Brown & Co, Pentonville rd, King's Cross

London Gazette.—Friday, Aug. 14.

ANSTAY, HARRIET STRICKLAND, Florence, Italy Sept 14 Blount & Co, Albemarle st
 ARCHER, BENJAMIN, Wigan, Oxford Sept 29 Fairfax, Banbury
 ARLES, SAMUEL WILLIAM, Wimbledon Sept 30 Taylor, Putney
 AYTON, SOPHIA, Wakefield Sept 11 Toward & Woodhead, Wakefield
 BAKER, BETTY, Hindford, Lancs Sept 5 Marsh & Co, Leigh, Lancs
 BATH, JOHN, Stithians, Cornwall Sept 16 Thomas, Camborne
 BENNETT, HENRY CURRIE LEIGH, Chertsey Sept 30 Dawson & Co, New sq, Lincoln's inn
 BILLING, ADAM, Walsall Aug 31 Jones, Wednesbury
 CHARLTON, GEORGE, Warden, Northumberland, Contractor Aug 31 L C & H F Lockhart, Hexham

Bankruptcy Notices.

London Gazette.—Friday, Aug. 14.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

AFLECK, Sir ROBERT, Motcombe st, Belgrave sq, Baronet High Court Pet June 22 Ord Aug 11
 BANISTER, THOMAS ALFRED, Blackpool, Draper Preston Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
 BARKER, JOSEPH THOMAS, New Barnet, Herts, Perambulator Manufacturer High Court Pet July 22 Ord Aug 10
 BARLOW, FRANK EDWARD, Birmingham Birmingham Pet July 25 Ord Aug 10
 BARNHAM, JAMES, Stratham, Nurseryman Croydon Pet Aug 5 Ord Aug 5
 BRADMORE, JAMES, Manchester, Licensed Victualler Manchester Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
 BENAS, JAMES HENRY BECKLEY, Scarborough, Wine Merchant Scarborough Pet Aug 8 Ord Aug 8
 BOOTH, HAROLD ANGE, Leicester, Blind Manufacturer Leicester Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
 BRADLEY, JOSEPH, Sheffield, Provision Dealer Sheffield Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
 BREWICK, ALFRED JOSEPH ELLERTON, Middlesbrough, Grocer Middlesbrough Pet July 30 Ord Aug 10
 CRESBY, ARTHUR VINCENT, Manchester, Merchant Manchester Pet Aug 8 Ord Aug 8
 EXLEY, JAMES THOMSON, Batley, Yorks, Plumber Dewsbury Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
 FAY, WALTER GEORGE, Bristol, Fruiterer Bristol Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
 GREENHALGH, JOHN, Batley, Yorks, Ice Cream Manufacturer Dewsbury Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
 HARTLEY, FRANCES ANN, Harrogate, Newagent York Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
 HERSCHEL, AUGUSTE, Holland pk Croydon Pet July 9 Ord Aug 10
 HOPKINS, MARK, Tonybridge, Glam, Greengrocer Pontypool Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
 HUNT, FREDERICK WILLIAM, Bristol, Grocer Bristol Pet July 27 Ord Aug 12
 JEFFREY, TOM, and HARRY JEFFREY, Colliercoats, Northumberland, Painters Newcastle on Tyne Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
 JONES, RALPH, Avening, Glos, Painter Gloucester Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
 KERN, WILLIAM, Mitcham, Builder High Court Pet July 22 Ord Aug 12
 KINGTON, WILLIAM HENRY, How Cayle, Hereford, Labourer Hereford Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
 LEE, WILLIAM GEORGE, Folkestone, Builder Canterbury Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12
 LEWIS, SAMUEL, Treforest, Glam, Ripper in Coal Mine Pontypool Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
 MERRILL, ARTHUR, and FREDERICK MERRILL, Didsbury, Lancs, Butchers Manchester Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
 MONTAGUE, ALFRED, and JOHN EDWIN FANCY, Upper Parkmore, Dorset, Builders Poole Pet July 30 Ord Aug 11
 MOORE & Co, Moorgate st, Financiers High Court Pet July 24 Ord Aug 12
 MOUNT, STEPHEN HENRY, Rochester, Labourer Rochester Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
 NELSON, THOMAS WILLIAM, Guiseley, Yorks, Grocer Leeds Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12

NORMAN, VICTOR HENRY, Norwich, Restaurant Proprietor Norwich Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12
 PATT, SOLOMON, Edgware rd, Grocer High Court Pet July 28 Ord Aug 12
 PICKIN, MARY, Heckmondwike, Yorks, Fancy Draper Dewsbury Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
 QUARE, ERNEST, Matching Green, nr Harlow, Essex Hertford Pet July 18 Ord Aug 11
 REAVELEY, GEORGE, Craven Hill gds High Court Pet May 18 Ord Aug 12
 ROWE, MARTIN, St Buryan, Cornwall, Farmer Truro Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
 SHOWELL, WILLIAM JOHN CURRIE, Wolverhampton, Bellows Manufacturer Wolverhampton Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12
 SOWERBUTTS, FRANK, Rugby, Fishmonger Coventry Pet July 29 Ord Aug 10
 SPEIGHT, ROBERT WILLIAM, Rotherham, Yorks, Hair-dresser Sheffield Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
 SPIKE, JOHN BARNETT, Forest Hill, Builder Greenwich Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
 STALLARD, THOMAS, Shrawley, Worcester, Baker Worcester Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12
 TAYLOR, CHARLES LASTMAN, Middlesbrough, Grocer Middlesbrough Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
 TOVEY, HENRY, Winford, Somerset, Butcher Bristol Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
 VIRGO, WILLIAM, sen, and WILLIAM VIRGO, jun, Ruspidge, Glos, Colliery Proprietors Gloucester Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12
 WATTESSON, HENRY EDWIN, Sheffield, Plumber Sheffield Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
 WILKINSON, JAMES, and JOHN TIMOTHY PERRY, Tipton, Staffs, Royalty Masters Dudley Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12
 WILLIS, CHARLES, Bridgend, Painter Cardiff Pet Aug 5 Ord Aug 5
 WINTANTLEY, JOHN RICHARD, Batlow in Furness, Fruiterer Batlow in Furness Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12
 WOODWARD, FREDERICK, Oldham, Builder Oldham Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
 WRIGHT, HENRY CHARLES, Tottenham, Greengrocer Edmonton Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10

Amended notice substituted for that published in the London Gazette of July 21:

CORKE, JOHN ROYAL, Kingston upon Hull, Decorator Kingston upon Hull Pet July 18 Ord July 18

Amended notice substituted for that published in the London Gazette of July 31:

SMALLBRIDGE, ALBERT HOSKING, Ivybridge, Devon, Grocer Plymouth Pet July 11 Ord July 27

Amended notice substituted for that published in the London Gazette of Aug 4:

WEISHAUS, SALOMON, Plumstead, Furniture Dealer Greenwich Pet July 30 Ord July 30

RECEIVING ORDER RESCINDED.

MANSON, E. O, Broughton rd, West Ealing Brentford Rec Ord July 3 Rec July 17

FIRST MEETINGS.

AFLECK, Sir ROBERT, Motcombe st, Belgrave sq Aug 25 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

ARMISTEAD, WILLIAM, Nelson, Lancs, Clerk Aug 24 at 11 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston

CLARKSON, HENRY, Mitcham, Carman Sept 21 Sydney, Renfrew rd, Lambeth
 DAVIDSON, WILLIAM ROBERT, Edlingham Demesne, Northumberland, Farmer Sept 3 Spence, South Shields
 EDMONDSON, NAOMI, Widesey, Bradford Sept 19 Gordon & Co, Bradford
 EXLEY, ESTHER, Carmarthen Sept 30 White, Carmarthen
 GALTON, JOHN CHARLES, Chelsea, MRCB Sept 12 Turner & Son, Leadenhall st
 HAMER, SAMUEL, Degany, nr Llandudno Sept 14 Chamberlain & Johnson, Llandudno
 HARPER, ANN, Kendal, Westmoreland Sept 30 Thompson & Hodgson, Kendal
 HATCH, ELIZABETH ANNE, Bristol Sept 30 Gouldsmith & Gribble, Bristol
 HURLSTONE, THOMAS, Dursley, Glos, Engineer Sept 1 Francillon, Dursley
 JACKSON, WALTER, Longtown, Cumberland, Hotel Keeper Aug 29 Wannop & Westland, Carlisle
 JACKSON, ANN, Longtown Aug 29 Wannop & Westmoreland, Carlisle
 KAYE, JOSEPH, Huddersfield Sept 30 Hall & Co, Huddersfield
 KIMBLELEY, KATE ELIZABETH, Banbury, Oxford Sept 15 Fairfax, Banbury
 KING, THOMAS, Rowdall, Sussex Oct 31 Marsden, Ventnor, I W
 MARROW, PETER, Dunbar, NB Sept 5 Gibbons & Arkle, Liverpool
 MARSHALL, ALEXANDER, Liverpool Aug 31 Simpson & Munro, Liverpool
 MARSH, JOSEPH, Rochdale Sept 19 Jackson & Co, Rochdale
 MICHAEL, WALTER AMOS, East Liss, Southampton Sept 30 Shield & Mackarness, Peterfield, Hants
 MUMFERY, STEPHEN, Westwell, Kent, Farmer Sept 23 Mowll & Mowll, Ashford, Kent
 MUNRO, DONALD, Sheep Farmer, Patagonia, Argentine Republic Sept 15 Syrett & Son, Finsbury pavement
 NORWOOD, JANE MEDD, Plymouth Sept 27 Gidley & Son, Plymouth
 NUTTALL, JOHN, Ashton under Lyne Sept 5 Richards & Hurst, Ashton under Lyne
 OFFICER, GEORGE, Derby Oct 12 Powell, Derby
 OFFICER, MARY ELLEN, Derby Oct 12 Powell, Derby
 PAGE, CHARLES HARRY, Wolverhampton, Iron Merchant Sept 29 Slater & Co, Daston
 PENNY, FANNY MILLER, Brighton Sept 12 Guillaume & Sons, Salisbury sq
 PINNEY, ANN, Brinkworth, Wilts Sept 20 Clark & Smith, Malmesbury
 PINNELL, WILLIAM, Brinkworth, Wilts, Farm Bailiff Sept 23 Clark & Smith, Malmesbury
 PLATT, THOMAS SHAW, Saddleworth, Yorks, Woollen Finisher Sept 7 Roberts, Saddleworth
 POOLE, Sir JAMES (HENRY), Liverpool Sept 12 Thompson & Co, Carey st, Lincoln's inn
 ROBERTSON, ANNE, Lambeth, Brace Manufacturer Sept 29 Barton & Pearson, Norfolk
 SANSON, FRANCIS JAMES, Portsea, Hants, Accountant Sept 30 Bolitho, Portsea
 SIMONS, RICHARD, Leytonstone, Essex, Convict Warden Sept 12 Calhoun, Chancery in
 STEEL, CATHERINE, Halthwaite, Northumberland Aug 22 L C & H F Lockhart, Hexham
 WATTESSON, WILLIAM CRAVEN, Bowdon, Chester Sept 23 Partington & Alim, Manchester
 WEBBER, JAMES Teddington Sept 15 Duncan, Chancery in
 WOODS, EMILY ANN, Bognor Sept 11 Stafford, Bognor
 WRATHALL, JOHN HITCHING, Lytham, Lancs Sept 26 Sale & Co, Manchester
 WYRILL, ELIZABETH, Farlington, Yorks Sept 30 Crombie & Sons, York

BARKER, ARTHUR, Bradford, Plasterer Aug 24 at 3 Off Rec, 29, Tyrral st, Bradford
 BARKER, JOSEPH THOMAS, New Barnet, Herts, Perambulator Maker Aug 24 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st
 BARRATT, ABRAHAM, Newport, Fagnall, Bucks, Rope-maker Aug 22 at 11.30 Off Rec, Bridge st, Northampton
 BEARDMORE, JAMES, Manchester, Licensed Victualler Aug 24 at 2.30 Off Rec, Byrom st, Manchester
 COXON, ANNE ELIZABETH, Chester le Street, Durham, Grocer Aug 24 at 11 Off Rec, 25, John st, Sunderland
 DANKS, ANNE, Goldsmith st, Notts, Dressmaker Aug 5 at 12.30 Off Rec, 4, Castle pl, Park st, Nottingham
 DAY, SAMUEL, Ipswich, Plumber Aug 26 at 11 Off Rec, 36, Fribourg st, Ipswich
 DUFFY, JESSEY, A. Basinghall st, African Merchant Aug 5 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st
 FERRABEE, JOHN FULTON, Chalford, Glos, Manufacturer Aug 22 at 4 Imperial Hotel, Stroud
 GIBBS, JAMES, Ilford, Coal Merchant Aug 26 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st
 HALL, ISAAC, Belper, Derby, Grocer Aug 22 at 11.30 Off Rec, 47, Full st, Derby
 HARTLEY, FRANCES ANN, Harrogate, Stationer Aug 25 at 12.30 Off Rec, The Red House, Duncombe pl, York
 HEYCOCK, BETHUEL, Taibach, Port Talbot, Glam, Butcher Aug 22 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Alexandra rd, Swansea
 HIRSCHBERG, GUSTAV ROBERT, Gt St Helen's, Merchant Aug 27 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st
 HOOKLEY, GEORGE JACOB, Ilford, Solicitor's Clerk Aug 31 at 12 Off Rec, 14, Bedford row
 MOULDS, ARTHUR CHARLES, and WILLIAM WHITE, Barwell, Leicester Aug 24 at 11 Off Rec, 1, Berridge st, Leicester
 MOUNT, STEPHEN HENRY, Rochester, Labourer Aug 24 at 11.30 115, High st, Rochester
 PARKER, ARTHUR, Mansfield, Notts, Grocer Aug 25 at 12 Off Rec, 4, Castle pl, Park st, Nottingham
 PARSONS, GEORGE CHARLES, Croydon, Coal Merchant Aug 25 at 11 24, Railway app, London Bridge
 ROWE, MARTIN, St Buryan, Cornwall, Farmer Aug 24 at 12.30 Off Rec, Boscawen st, Truro
 SANDERS, JOHN HENRY, Falmouth, Coachbuilder Aug 14 at 12 Off Rec, Boscawen st, Truro
 SHUTTLEWORTH, WILLIAM, Westworth st, Commercial st, Coffee House Proprietor Aug 26 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st
 SMART, ARTHUR WILLIAM, Swindon, Baker Aug 24 at 11 Off Rec, 38, Regent circus, Swindon
 SMITH, FREDERICK, Colne, Lancs Aug 24 at 11.15 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston
 SUTTON, CHARLES JACKSON, Kingston upon Hull, Pawnbroker Aug 24 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Trinity House in, Hull
 TOMLINSON, TOM, Nelson, Lancs, Greengrocer Aug 24 at 10.45 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston
 TURNER, FREDERICK SIMON, Dudley, Worcester Aug 22 at 11 Off Rec, 199, Wolverhampton st, Dudley
 WALES, GEORGE RICHARD HOPKINS, South Hackney, Builder Aug 24 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st
 WEBSTER, JOHN, Calster on Sea, Norfolk, Labourer Aug 22 at 1 Off Rec, 8, King st, Norwich
 WEISHAUS, SALOMON, Plumstead, Furniture Dealer Aug 24 at 11.30 24, Railway app, London Bridge
 WICKLEY, JOHN, Blackburn, Grocer Aug 24 at 10.30 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston
 WOOD, WILLIAM BLAKELEY, West Hartlepool, Music Teacher Aug 24 at 11.30 Off Rec, 25, John st, Sunderland

NINTH EDITION. Demy 8vo, pp. xl.—352 Price 7s. 6d. net; by post 8s.

THE LAW OF STAMP DUTIES ON DEEDS AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS.

Containing The Stamp Act 1891, The Stamp Duties Management Act 1891, and Acts amending the same; a Summary of Case Law; Notes of Practice and Administration; Table of Exemptions; the Old and New Death Duties; the Excise Licence Duties; and the Alterations in the Law relating to Stamp Duties effected by the various Finance Acts. By the late E. N. ALPE, Barrister-at-Law, and of the Solicitor's Department, Inland Revenue. Revised and Amplified by ARTHUR B. CANE, B.A., of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law.

This Edition has been thoroughly revised and brought up to date. The size has been increased from Crown 8vo to Demy 8vo, and the text has been entirely re-set in new type. In addition to the Acts of 1891, the book contains all the alterations in the Stamp Duties effected by the various Inland Revenue and other Acts passed since that date, and the provisions relating to the Estate and Excise Duties contained in the various Finance Acts. All important Decisions of the Courts on questions relating to Stamp Law are also noted. In matters of Practice the Editor has been assisted by Mr. HERBERT W. JORDAN, whose daily intercourse with the Inland Revenue Department keeps him *au fait* with all official requirements. A valuable feature of the book is a copious Analytical Index of 39 pages.

TWENTY-FIFTH EDITION. Crown 8vo, pp. xl.—604. Price 5s. net; by post 5s. 6d.

A HANDY BOOK ON THE FORMATION, MANAGEMENT, AND WINDING UP OF JOINT STOCK COMPANIES. By F. GORE-BROWNE, M.A., K.C. (Author of "Concise Precedents under the Companies Acts"), and WILLIAM JORDAN, Company Registration and Parliamentary Agent.

Besides the reliable Legal and Practical Information contained in previous Editions, the Twenty-fifth Edition contains the Text of the Companies Act, 1900, with Practical Notes on the working of that Act; References to every important Legal Decision on Company Law and Practice since 1862; the latest Rules of the London Stock Exchange relating to Companies; Tables of Duties and Fees payable on Registering Companies with Capitals of from £100 to £1,000,000; Duties and Fees on Transfers of Shares and Stock, Mortgages, and other Documents; Fees on Registration of Debentures; and much other information valuable to Solicitors, Promoters, Directors, Secretaries, Auditors, Shareholders, and Liquidators of Joint Stock Companies.

FIFTH EDITION. Demy 8vo, pp. xlviii.—408. Price 12s. 6d. net; by post 13s.

NOTES ON PERUSING TITLES.

Containing Observations on the Points most frequently arising on a Perusal of Titles to Real and Leasehold Property, and an Epitome of the Notes arranged by way of Reminders. With an Appendix on the Appointment of a Real Representative by The Land Transfer Act 1897. By LEWIS E. EMMET, Solicitor.

JORDAN & SONS, LIMITED, 116 & 120 CHANCERY LANE, LONDON, W.C.

WRIGHT, FREDERICK WILLIAM, Walthamstow, Commercial Clerk Aug 26 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

ADJUDICATIONS.

BARNHART, THOMAS ALFRED, Blackpool, Draper Preston Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
BARNHART, JAMES, Streatham, Nurseryman Croydon Pet Aug 5 Ord Aug 8
BEARDMORE, JAMES, Manchester, Licensed Victualler Manchester Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
BEMAN, JAMES HENRY BUCKLEY, Scarborough, Wine Merchant Scarborough Pet Aug 8 Ord Aug 8
BRADLEY, JOSEPH, Sheffield, Provision Dealer Sheffield Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
BEWARD, HENRY, Leicester, Fish Dealer Leicester Pet July 23 Ord Aug 10
BRIDGEC, ALFRED JOSEPH ELLERTON, Middlesbrough, Grocer Middlesbrough Pet July 30 Ord Aug 12
BUTTON, JOHN THOMAS, Blyth, Northumberland, Joiner-wright Newcastle on Tyne Pet Aug 4 Ord Aug 6
CASTER, EDWARD ERNEST, Castletown rd, West Kensington High Court Pet May 12 Ord Aug 11
CRESSY, ARTHUR VINCENT, Manchester, Merchant Manchester Pet Aug 8 Ord Aug 8
DAVIS, FREDERICK WILLIAM, and ALBERT JOHN HERRING, Worthing, Auctioneers Yeovil Pet May 23 Ord July 2
DEALTY, HERBERT ARTHUR BERKELEY, Fleet st High Court Pet May 28 Ord Aug 11
DERLEY, JOSEPH FRANK, Salford, Birmingham, Grocer Birmingham Pet Aug 5 Ord Aug 12
FOSTER, NICHOLAS, Carlisle, Plummet Carlisle Pet Aug 6 Ord Aug 12
FET, WALTER GEORGE, St Paul's, Bristol, Fruiterer Bristol Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 12
GLADSTONE, WILLIAM, Brampton, Cumberland, Innkeeper Carlisle Pet June 20 Ord Aug 12
GREEN, GEORGE, Blyden on Tyne, Durham, Furniture Dealer Newcastle on Tyne Pet Aug 6 Ord Aug 7
GREENHALGH, JOHN, Batley, Yorks, Ice Cream Manufacturer Dewsbury Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
HARTLEY, FRANCIS ARN, Harrogate, Stationer York Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 10
HOPKINS, MARK, Turnpenny, Glam, Greengrocer Pontypriid Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
JONES, RALPH, Avening, Glos, Painter Gloucester Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
KINGTON, WILLIAM HENRY, Kingsland, Hereford, Labourer Hereford Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
LEE, WILLIAM GEORGE, Folkestone, Builder Canterbury Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 13
LEON, ELIAS, Bowes Park, Commission Agent High Court Pet Feb 16 Ord Aug 11
LEWIS, SAMUEL, Trefores, Glam, Ripper in Coal Mine Pontypriid Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
LOWEBUTTS, FRANK, Rugby, Warwick, Fishmonger Coventry Pet July 29 Ord Aug 12

MARCH, WILLIAM, Wylam, Northumberland, Farmer Newcastle on Tyne Pet July 23 Ord Aug 10
MELMER, CHARLES EDWARD, Leeds, Tobaccoist Leeds Pet July 25 Ord Aug 8
MOUST, STEPHEN HENRY, Rochester, Labourer Rochester Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
MURRAY, GEORGE JOSEPH, St Paul's Churchyard High Court Pet March 9 Ord Aug 12
NALDER, NOEL FRANCIS, Queen Victoria st High Court Pet Feb 16 Ord Aug 11
NELSON, THOMAS WILLIAM, Guseley, Yorks, Grocer Leeds Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12
NORMAN, VICTOR HENRY, Norwich, Restaurant Proprietor Norwich Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12
PICKIN, MARY, Heckmondwike, China Dealer Dewsbury Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
RILEY-COONEY, BERNARD JOSEPH, Lower Edmonton, Builder Edmonton Pet June 15 Ord Aug 10
ROSE, THOMAS, Birmingham, China Dealer Birmingham Pet Aug 8 Ord Aug 10
ROWE, MARTIN, St Buryan, Cornwall, Farmer Truro Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
SHOWELL, WILLIAM JOHN CURRIE, Wolverhampton, Bellows Manufacturer Wolverhampton Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12
SHUTTLEWORTH, WILLIAM, Wentworth st, Commercial st, Coffee House Proprietor High Court Pet Aug 7 Ord Aug 12
SIMMONS, JAMES HENRY, Perry Barr, Staffs, Painter Birmingham Pet July 31 Ord Aug 10
SPRIGHT, ROBERT WILLIAM, Rotherham, Yorks, Hairdresser Sheffield Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
SPINK, JOHN BARNETT, Forest Hill, Kent, Builder Greenwich Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
STALLARD, THOMAS, Shrivley, Worcester, Baker Worcester Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12
TAYLOR, CHARLES LASTAR, Middlesbrough, Grocer Middlesbrough Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
TOVEY, HENRY, Winton, Somerset, Butcher Bristol Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
TOWSEND, JOSHUA HACKETT, Sheffield, Merchant Sheffield Pet June 5 Ord Aug 10
VIRGO, WILLIAM, sen, and WILLIAM VIRGO, jun, Busbridge, Glos, Colliery Proprietors Gloucester Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12
WATTEBSON, HENRY EDWIN, Sheffield, Plumber Sheffield Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
WELLS, WILLIAM EDWARD, Crickelewood High Court Pet July 10 Ord Aug 11
WILKINSON, JAMES, and JOHN TIMOTHY PERRY, Tipton, Royalty Masters Dudley Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12
WILLIS, CHARLES, Bridgend, Painter Cardiff Pet Aug 5 Ord Aug 5
WOODWARD, FREDERICK, Oldham, Builder Oldham Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
WRIGHT, HENRY CHARLES, Tottenham, Greengrocer Edmonton Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10

Amended notice substituted for that published in the London Gazette of Aug 4:

WEISSHAUS, SALOMON, Plumstead, Furniture Dealer Greenwich Pet July 30 Ord July 30

ADJUDICATION ANNULLED AND RECEIVING ORDER RESCINDED.

EVANS, JOHN THOMAS DANIEL, Rhyl, Flint, Accountant Bangor Res Ord June 26 Adjud June 25 Resc and Annul July 27

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Aug 18.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

AMBLER, WILLIAM, Southsea, Coach Builder Portsmouth Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
BANGER, WILLIAM, Tetenden, Florist Canterbury Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
BARKER, WILLIAM, Middlesbrough, Grocer Middlesbrough Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12
CHAPPEL, CHARLES ELLISON, Stockton Heath, Cheshire, Builder Warrington Pet July 29 Ord Aug 13
CBONE, GORDON EDEBERT, Leeds, Insurance Agent Leeds Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 13
CUTHBERTSON, CUTHBERT, Birkby, nr Maryport, Cumberland, Farmer Cockermouth Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
DAY, THOMAS, jun, Penn, nr Wolverhampton, Commercial Traveller Wolverhampton Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 13
EDWARDS, RICHARD PIGGOTT, Chester, Hosier Chester Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
ELLIS, WILLIAM LEWIS, Tylorstown, Glam, Collier Pontypriid Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
ENERBY, EVERITT, Birmingham, Draper Birmingham Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 13
ENGLAND, FRANK, Glastonbury, Cycle Dealer High Court Pet April 24 Ord Aug 14
FISH, ELLEN MARION, Sandown, I W, Lodging house Keeper Newport Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
FLETCHER, ROBERT, and EDWARD FLETCHER, Brighton, Auctioneers Brighton Pet July 23 Ord Aug 10
FOX, GEORGE, Hyde, Chester, Hosier Ashken under Lyne Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
GINGER, ERNEST, Stafford st, Piccadilly, Butcher High Court Pet July 28 Ord Aug 15
GOAD, ROBERT, Asby, Westmoreland, Blacksmith Kendal Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
GREENSLADE, WILLIAM JAMES, Peckham Rye, Bus Driver High Court Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 14
GREGORY, A C, Derby, Carver Derby Pet Aug 1 Ord Aug 14
HARWOOD, THOMAS WILLIAM, Brentwood, Salesman High Court Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
HEWINS, THOMAS, Stratford on Avon, Organ Builder Warwick Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
HOARE, EMMA SOPHIA, Faversham, Kent, Carter Canterbury Pet Aug 8 Ord Aug 8

JAMES, CHARLES, West Bromwich, Coal Merchant West Bromwich Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
 JOLLY, FRANCIS HENRY, Woodbridge, Suffolk, Fruiterer Ipswich Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 13
 KENDALL, JOSEPH DORRIS, Lenton, Nottingham, Oil Merchant Nottingham Pet July 31 Ord Aug 14
 LANGLEY, ARTHUR, Sheffield, Engineer Sheffield Pet Aug 7 Ord Aug 13
 LITTLE, JOSEPH WALLACE ALBERT MCGOUGHIE, Gt Grimsby, Engineer's Labourer Gt Grimsby Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
 MARDOX, CLEMENT JOHN WILLIAM, Teignmouth, Devon, Coal Merchant Exeter Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
 MILTON, CHRISTOPHER JOSEPH, Bromsgrove, Worcester, Tobaccoist Worcester Pet July 25 Ord Aug 15
 SMITH & CO, FRANK ELLIS, John St, Bedford row, Mortgage Agents High Court Pet July 23 Ord Aug 14
 THOMAS, DAVID, Penrhiwceiber, Coal Miner Pontypridd Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 13
 VOGEL, OSCAR, Headingley, Leeds, Florist Leeds Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 13
 WILLIAMS, ROBERT, Portmadoc, Gardener Portmadoc Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
 YOUNG, ANDREW COVENTRY, Sir ALLAN ARTHUR, ALBERT AUGUSTINE NEATBY, and CHARLES MALCOLM HAYES, Manchester, Merchants Manchester Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15

Amended notice substituted for that published London Gazette of July 31:

PETIT, FELIX GEORGE BORLEY, South Bermondsey, Builder High Court Pet July 9 Ord July 29

FIRST MEETINGS.

BANGER, WILLIAM, Tenterden, Florist Aug 27 at 9.45 Off Rec, 65, Castle st, Canterbury
 BARKER, FREDERICK, Earls Barton, Northampton, Green-grocer Aug 26 at 11.30 Off Rec, Brierley, Northampton
 BARKER, WILLIAM, Middlesbrough, Grocer Sept 4 at 3 Off Rec, Albert rd, Middlesbrough
 BARNSHAW, JAMES, Streatham, Nurseryman Aug 26 at 11.30 24, Railway app, London Bridge
 BARRINGTON, JOSEPH, Blackburn, Furniture Dealer Aug 26 at 11 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston
 BEALE, WILLIAM, Ross, Hereford, Baker Aug 26 at 2.30 2, Off st, Hereford
 BEMAN, JAMES HENRY BUCKLEY, Scarborough, Wine Merchant Aug 26 at 11.30 74, Newborough, Scarborough
 BERRILL, THOMAS, and CHARLES BERRILL, Ilchester, Northampton, Builders Aug 26 at 3.30 The Hind Hotel, Wellingborough
 BOOTH, HAROLD ARON, Leicester, Blind Manufacturer Aug 26 at 12 Off Rec, 1, Berridge st, Leicester
 BRADLEY, JOSEPH, Sheffield, Provision Dealer Aug 27 at 12.30 Off Rec, Fytton ln, Sheffield
 BRAILSFORD, JOHN JAMES, Tibshelf, Derby, Colliery Banks-man Aug 26 at 12 Off Rec, 47, Full st, Derby
 BURSICKE, ALFRED JOSEPH ELLESTON, Middlesbrough, Grocer Aug 26 at 3 Off Rec, 8, Albert rd, Middlesbrough
 CLAMP, WILLIAM ALFRED, jun, Birmingham, Licensed Victualler Aug 26 at 11 174, Corporation st, Birmingham
 CROOK, GORDON EGBERT, Leeds, Insurance Agent Aug 26 at 11 Off Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds
 DAVIES, RICHARD, Gwmy, Carmarvon, Builder Aug 26 at 2.30 Crypt chmbrs, Eastgate row, Chester
 DAY, ARTHUR GEORGE FITZROY, Devonport Aug 26 at 11.30 24, Railway app, London Bridge
 DEWLEY, JOSEPH FRANK, Saltley, Birmingham, Grocer Aug 27 at 12 174, Corporation st, Birmingham
 EDINGTON, JOHN ERNEST, Liverpool, Chemist's Manager Aug 27 at 10.30 Off Rec, 35, Victoria st, Liverpool
 ESKY, JAMES THOMPSON, Batley, Yorks, Plumber Aug 26 at 10.30 Off Rec, Bank chmbrs, Corporation st, Dewsbury
 FORSTER, NICHOLAS, Carlisle, Plumber Aug 26 at 3 Off Rec, 34, Fyter st, Carlisle
 FRY, WALTER GEORGE, Bristol, Fruiterer Aug 26 at 11.30 Off Rec, 26, Baldwin st, Bristol
 GLADSTONE, WILLIAM, Brampton, Cumberland, Innkeeper Aug 26 at 3.30 Off Rec, 34, Fisher st, Carlisle
 GREENHALGH, JOHN, Batley, Yorks, Ice Cream Manufacturer Aug 26 at 12.30 Off Rec, Bank chmbrs, Corporation st, Dewsbury
 GREGORY, ALFONSO CHARLES, Derby, Carver Aug 26 at 11 Off Rec, 47, Full st, Derby
 HARRIS, THOMAS, JOSEPH, Lzells, Birmingham, Draper Aug 26 at 12 174, Corporation st, Birmingham
 HOARE, EMMA SOPHIA, Faversham, Kent, Carter Aug 27 at 9.30 Off Rec, 68, Castle st, Canterbury
 HUNT, FREDERICK WILLIAM, Bristol, Grocer Aug 26 at 12 Off Rec, 26, Baldwin st, Bristol
 JEFFERY, TOM, and HARRY JEFFERY, Colliercoats, North-umberland, Painters Aug 26 at 11.30 Off Rec, 30, Mooley st, Newcastle on Tyne
 JOLLY, FRANCIS HENRY, Woodbridge, Suffolk, Fruiterer Aug 26 at 11.15 Off Rec, 36, Princes st, Ipswich
 JONES, RALPH, Avington, Glou, Publican Aug 27 at 12 Off Rec, Station rd, Gloucester
 KINGSTON, WILLIAM HENRY, How Caple, Hereford, Labourer Aug 26 at 3 2, Off st, Hereford
 LAZZERI, CELESTE, Richmond, Surrey, Restaurateur Aug 27 at 11.30 24, Railway app, London Bridge
 LEE, WILLIAM GEORGE, Folkestone, Builder Aug 27 at 10 Off Rec, 68, Castle st, Canterbury
 LLOYD, WILLIAM PATER, Hereford, Builder Aug 26 at 12 2, Off st, Hereford
 MANN, MARK, Haddenham, Bucks, Poultry Farmer Aug 26 at 3 1, 84 Aldate's, Oxford
 MARDOX, CLEMENT JOHN WILLIAM, Teignmouth, Coal Merchant Sept 17 at 10.30 Off Rec, 9, Bedford circus, Exeter
 MORAN, CHARLES, Hereford, Baker Aug 26 at 12.30 2, Off st, Hereford
 MORAN, MARGARET, Abertillery, Mon, Grocer Aug 26 at 12 125, High st, Merthyr Tydfil
 NELSON, THOMAS WILLIAM, Guseley, Yorks, Grocer Aug 26 at 12 Off Rec, 25, Park row, Leeds

MERRYWEATHERS' SYSTEM OF WATER SUPPLY to ESTATES, &c.



OIL ENGINE AND HATFIELD PUMP.

Reports Prepared,
Water Found,
Pumps Fixed.

Write for Pamphlet.

FIRE PROTECTION

On up-to-date Principles.

ELECTRIC LIGHTING on Merryweathers' Safe System.

MERRYWEATHERS,

63, LONG ACRE, LONDON, W.C.

PATT, SOLOMON, Edgware rd, Grocer Aug 27 at 11 Bank-ruptcy bldg, Carey st
 PHILLIPOTT, JOSEPH JENKINS, Gillingham, Dorset, Coal Merchant Aug 27 at 12.30 Off Rec, City chmbrs, Endless st, Salisbury
 PICKIN, MARY, Heckmondwike, Yorks, Fancy Draper Aug 26 at 11.30 Off Rec, Bank chmbrs, Corporation st, Dewsbury
 RATHMEL, TOM, Honley, nr Huddersfield, Tobaccoist Aug 27 at 3 Off Rec, Prudential bldg, New st, Huddersfield
 REAVELL, FRANK WILLIAM, Knaphill, nr Woking, Builder Aug 26 at 11.30 24, Railway app, London Bridge
 REAVELL, GEORGE, Craven Hill gds Aug 26 at 11 Bank-ruptcy bldg, Carey st
 SIMMONS, JAMES HENRY, Perry Barr, Staffs, Painter Aug 27 at 11 174, Corporation st, Birmingham
 SOWERSBUTTS, FRANK, Rugby, Fishmonger Aug 31 at 12 Off Rec, 17, Hertford st, Coventry
 SPEIGHT, ROBERT WILLIAM, Rotherham, Yorks, Hairdress er Aug 27 at 12 Off Rec, Fytton ln, Sheffield
 SPRINKS, JOHN BARNETT, Forest Hill, Builder Aug 27 at 12.30 24, Railway app, London Bridge
 STALLARD, THOMAS, Shawley, Worcester, Baker Aug 26 at 11.30 45, Copenhagen st, Worcester
 STOCKTON, JOHN WILLIAM, Winsford, Cheshire, Mason Aug 28 at 10.30 Royal Hotel, Crewe
 TAYLOR, CHARLES LASTER, Middlesbrough, Grocer Aug 27 at 12 Off Rec, 8, Albert rd, Middlesbrough
 THOMAS, HENRY WILLIAM, Porth, Glam, Stationer Aug 27 at 12 135, High st, Merthyr Tydfil
 TOVEY, HENRY, Winford, Somerset, Butcher Aug 26 at 11.45 Off Rec, 26, Baldwin st, Bristol
 TUBE, HERBERT HENRY, Lichfield, Tailor Aug 26 at 12 Off Rec, Wolverhampton
 VOGEL, OSCAR, Headingley, Leeds, Florist Aug 26 at 11.30 Off Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds
 WESTGATE, WALTER, Belsham, Cambridge, Veterinary Surgeon Aug 28 at 12 Off Rec, 5, Petty Cury, Cam-bridge
 WILLIAMS, WATKIN, Llansilin, Denbigh, Farmer Aug 27 at 2.15 Queen Hotel, Oswestry
 WOODWARD, FREDERICK, Oldham, Builder Aug 26 at 12 Off Rec, Graves st, Oldham

ADJUDICATIONS.

ADAMS, JOHN GREGORY, and JOSEPH ADAMS, West Norwood, Butchers High Court Pet July 10 Ord Aug 8
 ANDLER, WILLIAM, Southsea, Coach Builder Portsmouth Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
 BALCHIN, EDWARD SAMUEL, High rd, Kilburn, Chemist High Court Pet July 29 Ord Aug 14
 BANGER, WILLIAM, Tenterden, Florist Canterbury Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 11
 BARKER, WILLIAM, Middlesbrough, Grocer Middlesbrough Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12
 CARTER, ARNISTEAD, Leeds, Grocer Leeds Pet June 27 Ord July 25
 CROOK, GORDON EGBERT, Leeds, Insurance Agent Leeds Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 13
 CUTHBERTSON, CUTHBERT, Birkby, nr Maryport, Cumber-land, Farmer Workington Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
 DANES, ANNIE, Nottingham, Dressmaker Nottingham Pet July 17 Ord Aug 12
 DAY, THOMAS, jun, Penn, nr Wolverhampton, Commercial Traveller Wolverhampton Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 13
 EDWARDS, RICHARD PROCOPT, Boughton, Chester, Hosier Chester Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 ELLIS, WILLIAM LEWIS, Tylorstown, Glam, Collier Ponty-pridd Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
 EVERBY, EVERITT, Birmingham, Draper Birmingham Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15

FISH, ELLEN MARION, Sandown, I.W. Lodging house Keeper Newport and Ryde Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 FLOWER-ELLIS, HORACE TELFORD, Cleveland row, St James' High Court Pet April 21 Ord Aug 14
 GARRARD, CLAUDE B, Oswestry, Shropshire Wrexham Pet July 9 Ord Aug 11
 GOAD, ROBERT, Asby, Wes'morland, Blacksmith Kendal Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
 GREENSLADE, WILLIAM JAMES, Peckham Bye, Bas Driver High Court Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
 HANCOCK, THOMAS WILLIAM, Breatwood, Saleman High Court Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
 HOARE, EMMA SOPHIA, Faversham, Kent, Carter Can-terbury Pet Aug 8 Ord Aug 8
 JEFFERY, TOM, and HARRY JEFFERY, Colliercoats, North-umberland, Painter Newcastle on Tyne Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 14
 JOLLY, FRANCIS HENRY, Woodbridge, Suffolk, Fruiterer Ipswich Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 13
 LAZZERI, CELESTE, Richmond, Surrey, Restaurateur Wandsworth Pet July 21 Ord Aug 13
 LITTLE, JOSEPH WALLACE ALBERT MCGOUGHIE, Gt Grimsby, Engineer's Labourer Gt Grimsby Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
 LOCKER, TOM, Birmingham, Auctioneer Birmingham Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 13
 MCCLAREY, WILLIAM FOX, Acomb, Yorks, Builder York Pet July 43 Ord Aug 14
 MARDOX, CLEMENT JOHN WILLIAM, Teignmouth, Coal Merchant Exeter Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 11
 METCALFE, ROBERT, Middlesbrough, Grocer Middlesbrough Pet July 15 Ord Aug 10
 NORTON, GEORGE JAMES MAURICE, Barking, Essex, China Dealer High Court Pet July 21 Ord Aug 15
 PRICE, REGINALD, Queen Victoria st High Court Pet June 11 Ord Aug 14
 THOMAS, DAVID, Penrhiwceiber, Glam, Coal Miner Ponty-pridd Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 13
 VOGEL, OSCAR, Headingley, Leeds, Florist Leeds Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 13
 WILLIAMS, ROBERT, Portmadoc, Gardener Portmadoc Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
 WOOD, GEORGE, Hove, Sussex Brighton Pet July 11 Ord Aug 14
 YOUNG, ANDREW COVENTRY, Sir ALLAN ARTHUR, ALBERT AUGUSTINE NEATBY, and CHARLES MALCOLM HAYES, Manchester, Merchants Manchester Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15

Amended notice substituted for that published in the London Gazette of A. 4. 11:

CRANE, JOHN BELL, Biggleswade Bedford Pet Aug 4 Ord Aug 7

ADJUDICATION ANNULLLED.

GUY, WILLIAM, Ketley Bank, Wombidge, Salop, Miner Madeley Adjud July 28, 1896 Annual July 22, 1903

Annual Subscriptions, WHICH MUST BE PAID IN ADVANCE: SOLICITORS' JOURNAL and WEEKLY REPORTER, in Wrapper, 53s. post-free (53 Nos. 1902-3). SOLICITORS' JOURNAL only, 26s. 6d.; Country, 28s. 6d.; Foreign 31s. WEEKLY REPORTER, in Wrapper, 26s. 6d.; Country or Foreign, 28s. 6d.